Pages 1 - 115 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ AND LAMAR PATTERSON Plaintiffs, ) No. C 17-6748 WHO VS. TESLA, INC., dba TESLA MOTORS, INC., CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC., WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP, CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES, INC., ) and DOES 1-50, inclusive, San Francisco, California Defendants. ) Friday September 24, 2021 ) 8:00 A.M. TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiffs: ALEXANDER MORRISON & FEHR LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067 BY: BERNARD ALEXANDER, ESQ. CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP 332 San Anselmo Avenue San Anselmo, California 94960 BY: LAWRENCE A. ORGAN, ESQ. CIMONE A. NUNLEY, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For Defendants: SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

333 S. Hope Street

43rd Floor

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: TRACEY A. KENNEDY, ESQ.

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP

379 Lytton Ave

Palo Alto, California 94301

BY: PATRICIA M. JENG, ESQ.

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

Four Embarcadero Center

17th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: SUSAN Q. HAINES, ESQ.

Also Present: JOSEPH ALM, ESQ.

- Tesla, Inc.

YUSUF MOHAMED, ESQ.

- Tesla, Inc.

VALERIE CAPERS WORKMAN

- Tesla, Inc.

1	Friday - September 24, 2021 8:30 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	000
4	(Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective
5	jurors:)
6	THE CLERK: We are here for jury selection in Case
7	Number 17-6748, Diaz vs. Tesla, Incorporated.
8	MR. ALEXANDER: Good morning, Your Honor. Bernard
9	Alexander on behalf of the plaintiff, along with Larry Organ
10	and Cimone Nunley.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MS. KENNEDY: Good morning, Your Honor. Tracey
13	Kennedy on behalf of Tesla, along with Patty Jeng and Sue
14	Haines.
15	THE COURT: Welcome.
16	All right. A few things. One is that there has been an
17	accident on the Bay Bridge that has delayed some of the jurors
18	so we'll get going when we get going.
19	There are a few things that I have that I wanted to
20	discuss, and I don't know whether the parties have anything
21	they wanted to tell me.
22	But, first, with respect to the preliminary injunctions, I
23	assume that there are no objections to them, and we'll be set
24	to go on Monday morning?
25	MS. KENNEDY: That's correct, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Second, there is an issue raised
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
regarding the McGinn deposition, and in that deposition there
was an objection re the 30(b)(6) objection raised and it wasn't
described in the information that was provided to me so I
couldn't tell what the problem with that was.
         MR. ORGAN:
                     So, Your Honor, I could at least speak to
it.
     So --
          THE COURT: Could you speak into the microphone?
          MR. ORGAN: Yes, sir.
     I could speak to it, Your Honor, as I was at the
deposition. I think the confusion was they had some objections
as to the topics so that they produced the PMK subject to the
objections. I think the topics that we listed in the
designation were covered, though, in terms of they were
producing that person on those topics subject to their
objection.
           I can go back and check to make sure that that's
not misrepresenting what the witness was testifying about,
Your Honor --
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
         MR. ORGAN: -- if you'd like.
          THE COURT: Ms. Kennedy, do you know one way or
another?
         MS. KENNEDY: Your Honor, I don't recall the exact
            I could take a look at it. I just don't recall off
objection.
```

1 the top of my head.

THE COURT: Okay. So for the playing of the depositions, the -- I would not -- I would like to have all of the objections deleted from the tape, and they are all overruled except for the 30(b)(6) one. I just -- I want to be sure that -- what that's about. And I would allow the counterdesignations. So that's -- that's with McGinn.

You have now agreed with respect to the entity interrogatory responses, and so they will not come into evidence.

With respect to Demetric Di-az's deposition, if he's in Soledad, that's more than 100 miles away and his testimony I think has always been anticipated. There were several Motions in Limine that we dealt with with respect to that. His deposition has been taken.

Even if the plaintiff had done a writ ad testificandum, I doubt that he would have been able to get here during the pandemic, and there's no prejudice I think to the defendant. So I'm going to allow his deposition testimony to the extent that it is designated by both parties. And I'm not going to -- if the plaintiff is -- intends to use his deposition, the defendants can use his job application.

And then the only other thing that I wanted to raise now, and nobody has asked me to rule on this and I'm happy not to, but I wonder whether you have a common understanding of how the

term "nigger" is going to be used in this courtroom. 1 MR. ORGAN: Your Honor, it's our intent as counsel to 2 refer to it typically as the "N" word unless there's ambiguity, 3 and I think Mr. Alexander wants to be heard on this. 4 5 MR. ALEXANDER: There might be one use of it by me, but in questioning there are times when defense counsel has 6 used the term and it's being in the video. So in the video you 7 will hear it used, but in the courtroom it will not be 8 regularly used. It will be an exception when it is. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. KENNEDY: Your Honor, I would agree with that. We plan on using it as the "N" word unless it's in an 12 13 interrogatory response or some other testimony. 14 THE COURT: Excellent. Okay. That's fine. 15 Mr. Organ. 16 MR. ORGAN: Yes, Your Honor. Just in terms of 17 clarification, with respect to witnesses, our view is that the witness should be able to testify whatever they heard or in the 18 19 general "N" word term if they don't feel comfortable saying it. THE COURT: Yeah. So the -- I'm not -- I don't 20 21 disagree with you, and I think the judicious manner in which 22 you've described the term will be used by the lawyers is 23 appropriate and, you know, overuse I think does -- will run into 403 issues and all also be probably offensive to everybody 24 25 in the courtroom. So I think you all understand that so that's

fine. 1 So those were the, I think, the pending issues that I'm 2 aware of. 3 Mr. Alexander or Mr. Organ, is there anything else that we 4 5 need to do before the jury comes in? MR. ALEXANDER: Not -- Your Honor, not -- not that 6 7 I'm aware of. We have had some discussion about some jurors that we've stipulated that they would be grounds for hardship, 8 and so if the Court would consider those. I had not finished 9 speaking to Ms. Kennedy about it; but of the people that we had 10 11 overlap, the three that we were all able to agree on are Number 3, Number 17, and Number 27. 12 13 So the parties are in agreement with that. If it would be 14 the Court's pleasure, we think that there's hardship on those 15 individuals. 16 THE COURT: All right. They are on a list that I 17 have as tentative hardship. If you want to stipulate to their dismissal, we can let the jury office know now and then that 18 19 would reduce the number of people in the courtroom. MS. KENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. 20 We also had another two as well that --21 MR. ALEXANDER: We should talk off the record. 22 23 MS. KENNEDY: Okay. 24 MR. ALEXANDER: But with those three, there's 25 definite agreement.

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 1
                                  Are you -- Okay. I'm happy to
 2
     take that on.
          There were -- in the questions that you both provided, the
 3
     voir dire questions that you both provided, I'm going to use
 4
 5
     most of them, I think, to -- and that should tease that issue
 6
     out, but I will -- I will ask that question directly.
 7
               MS. KENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that we should do
 8
 9
    before the jury comes in?
10
          (No response.)
11
               THE COURT: All right. Well, let me know about the
     stipulation on the other two. Thank you.
12
13
               MR. ORGAN: Oh, Your Honor, I don't know that you've
     met plaintiff before, but Owen Diaz is here.
14
15
               THE COURT: Ah, excellent.
16
               MR. DIAZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Mr. Diaz, good morning. Glad to see you.
     We look forward to moving through.
18
19
          And the Tesla representative I have not met either.
               MS. KENNEDY: We have two. This is Joseph Alm and
20
21
     Yusuf Mohamed.
22
               THE COURT: Great.
                                   Welcome.
23
          All right.
                     See you when the jury comes or when you have
24
     stipulated, and I'll put that on the record. Thank you.
25
     //
```

```
1
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
           from 8:41 a.m. until 9:27 a.m.)
 2
          (Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective
 3
           jurors:)
 4
 5
               THE COURT:
                           So I'm about to provide you with the
     supplemental questionnaires. I just -- I wanted to let you
 6
 7
     know that there have been a number of prospective jurors who
     have self-screened for COVID and are not here. There are also
 8
     a couple of other people who are just not here.
 9
          So we will end up having 21 people come down. So that
10
11
     should be fine, but we'll see.
          So with that, Ms. Davis, you can hand those out and the
12
13
     jurors will start coming down relatively soon and we'll get
14
     qoinq.
15
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
           from 9:28 a.m. until 9:50 a.m.)
16
17
               THE CLERK: All rise. The Honorable William H.
     Orrick presiding.
18
               THE COURT: Good morning everybody.
19
          All right. Ladies and gentlemen, welcome. I'm glad to
20
21
     see you hear today, and thank you for being willing and able to
22
     participate in one of the foundations of our democracy and
23
     justice system, the jury trial.
          I'm going to talk about that in a little bit, but first I
24
25
     want to start by telling you that your health and safety is
```

utmost in my mind and in the mind of the court as a whole.

And I should introduce myself. I'm Judge William Orrick and I'm fortunate enough to sit in this courtroom a lot. So it's good to have you here.

So we've put into place a comprehensive, thoughtful, and evidence-based plan for conducting the trial. We've had public health experts analyze what we've done to make sure that it's appropriate. You've already seen some of the policies that we have in action, such as distancing and mask wearing and reduction of people in the building.

We've assessed our ventilation system, we've maximized the intake of fresh air in the courtroom, and we have what's considered a high level of filtration for office buildings.

So our safety protocols are designed to keep us all safe and allow us to do the work that our Constitution requires.

The protocols require us to do some things that we don't ordinarily do in court. Social distancing in the courtroom is one. Mask wearing is another. The exception to mask wearing will be when the lawyers are speaking and during trial the witnesses may choose to unmask as long as they're vaccinated. I can tell you that all the lawyers and their teams and the plaintiff, they're all vaccinated. All the courtroom personnel are and so am I.

I usually start jury selection by talking about the history of the right to a trial by jury to impress upon you how

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 12 of 116 12 PROCEEDINGS

important it is. Now, it comes from the Magna Carta in 1215. It used to be that the king could just decide willy-nilly what he wanted to do with people. Then the noblemen said, "No, we want to play too," and they were able to sit on a jury and then it was just people who had property, white people, men. But now that right belongs to all of us, and I think you already know how important this is because you answered the call to jury service in this most unusual time in our lives.

I'll just say this. In a world where the fairness of our justice system and the rule of law is questioned, the right to a jury trial ensures that cases get decided by a group of people who aren't appointed by a politician but selected randomly from the area where the case is proceeding, and they're picked not by the judge but by the parties to the lawsuit.

Our Constitution recognizes that there are just some cases where the stakes are too high to leave it up to just the Court to decide, particularly a judge. Each of you has the experience to judge the facts and consider the perspectives of other jurors, and it's the diverse experiences that you bring to jury service that's the best protection for the right to a fair trial.

As a juror, you'll apply the law that I give you to what you learn in this courtroom about this case from the witness stand and witnesses that may testify by video and you'll render

the verdict. 1 What you think and how you work with others will be 2 critical in deciding a matter that has real impact on our 3 society. 4 5 So I just want to underscore for you how important what you're being called to do is. I know a lot of people don't 6 7 like being called to jury service, and I know that you all have important things to do in your lives and that this isn't 8 convenient and it seems like an imposition, but it is your duty 9 as a citizen and it's essential if we're to deliver the 10 11 promises of justice for all. So with that, Ms. Davis, would you administer the oath, 12 13 please. 14 (Jury panel sworn.) Thank you. You may be seated. 15 THE CLERK: 16 THE COURT: So let me tell you a little bit about 17 this case, how long it's going to take, what the trial days are going to look like, and what's going to happen today as we pick 18 19 the jury. So first a description of the case. Plaintiff Owen Diaz 20 claims that while working at the Tesla factory in Fremont, 21 22 California, he was subjected to a hostile work environment 23 based on his race, being African-American or black. In addition, Mr. Diaz claims that Tesla, Inc., failed to 24 25 take all reasonable steps to prevent race-based harassment

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 14 of 116 14 **PROCEEDINGS**

towards him, including the use of the "N" word, and failed to 1 adequately remedy the harassment after Tesla received notice of 2 it. 3 Mr. Diaz also claims that Tesla, Inc., negligently 4 5 retained factory workers and supervisors who engaged in 6 harassing conduct toward him. The plaintiff Owen Diaz has the burden of proving these 7 claims by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 The defendant, Tesla, Inc., doing business as Tesla 9 Motors, Inc., denies these claims. Tesla denies that Mr. Diaz 10 11 was subject -- subjected to a hostile work environment based on his race and denies that it failed to take all reasonable steps 12 13 to prevent race-based harassment in the workplace. Tesla also denies that it negligently hired, retained, or 14 15 supervised factory workers, employees, or contractors who Mr. Diaz claims engaged in race-based harassing conduct towards 16 17 him. So this will be a relatively short case if all goes well. 18 I'm anticipating that the evidence will be completed and the 19 20 case given to the jury for its deliberations by a week from 21 Monday. Thank you all for filling out the questionnaires that the 22 23 jury office gave to you. I'm going to take a couple of hours this morning telling you about jury service and asking 24

questions that may bear on your ability to serve as a juror.

25

Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 15 of 116 15 Then the lawyers will ask you some more questions for about an 1 additional 15 minutes or so each. 2 I'm going to take a break every hour and a half or so, so 3 we should be breaking at around 11:30 for -- for a little bit. 4 5 And if my questions to you raise any personal matter that you would prefer to tell me and the lawyers privately, we can 6 7 do that after a break -- during a break after I've finished all my questions, and otherwise I'm going to ask you to step to the 8 microphone that's in the middle of the courtroom when you're 9 answering questions. 10 11 So it is my hope and expectation that this process will be

finished today and that the trial will start on Monday.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our trial day will run from 8:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. have a 15-minute break at about 10:00 and another at about The reason that the trial day stops at 1:30 is I have other matters that are scheduled later in the afternoon.

I found when I was a trial lawyer it really helped to have the afternoons to prepare for the next day, and I think jurors also find it useful to be able to continue some of the rest of their lives, work lives, errands, and those sorts of things, and also to get out before the traffic rush at the end of the day.

So -- and we'll have trial every day of the week.

I know that jury service asks quite a bit of you. to promise to be as efficient as I can be with your time. If I

need to meet with the lawyers, I do that before 8:30 or after 1 1:30 unless there's something unusual. 2 I'm going to be prompt. The lawyers are going to be 3 I'm going to ask you to be prompt. There are a lot of 4 5 people involved in a trial and making any of us wait because 6 one person isn't watching the clock is just discourteous. 7 If anyone learns of COVID contact, gets sick, or has a personal emergency during trial that causes you to need to be 8 excused from further service, please notify my Courtroom Deputy 9 Ms. Davis, who is here, and she will get in touch with me. 10 And 11 she'll provide everybody with contact information who's selected to serve on the jury. 12 13 So now we're going to move to jury selection. I'm going to ask the lawyers to introduce themselves, their clients. 14 15 You've looked at the -- you've all seen the list of witnesses who may be testifying so they don't have to repeat that. 16 17 After that, I'll ask you questions and talk a little bit about the law that applies to your role as jurors. And once 18 19 the questioning is over, I will determine whether anybody should be excused for hardship or cause, and then the lawyers 20 21 will make their selections and we'll have the jury. So, first, let's have the lawyers introduce themselves. 22 23 Let's start with the plaintiffs. Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. ALEXANDER: 25 Good morning. My name is Bernard Alexander and on the

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 17 of 116 17 PROCEEDINGS

```
legal team Larry Organ and Simone Nunley; and also assisting
 1
     us, Harry Plotkin and Sabrina Grislis and the gentleman here --
 2
     if you could stand up, please -- Owen Diaz.
                                                  This is the
 3
     plaintiff.
 4
 5
          Thank you.
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Alexander.
 6
 7
          And so I see a hand. And so, first, could you indicate
     what juror number you are and then please come to the
 8
    microphone and let me know what's on your mind.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: This should be simple.
10
11
     I'm sorry, but I'm -- I was having a hard time hearing you.
     was thinking maybe you could raise your microphone. I'm barely
12
13
     hearing you in the back of the room. That's all.
               THE COURT: Great. And you are juror number?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24:
15
                                          Number 24.
16
               THE COURT: 24.
                                Thank you very much.
17
          (Pause in proceedings.)
               THE COURT: Great. The -- thank you for raising
18
     that.
19
          Anybody who does have a hearing issue as we go forward --
20
     the combination of masks and needing to speak into a microphone
21
     is also not always what we're used to, so there can be those
22
23
     problems, and so thank you for bringing that to our attention.
          All right. Let's go to the defense. Ms. Kennedy.
24
25
               MS. KENNEDY: Hi.
                                  Good morning. My name is Tracey
```

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 18 of 116 18 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
Kennedy and I'm the lead trial lawyer for Tesla. My legal team
 1
     is Patricia Jeng, Susan Haines, Stephanie Limbach.
 2
          And my -- also part of the team are Rohan Beeton and
 3
     Michelle LaRocca (phonetic spellings).
 4
 5
          The representatives from Tesla are Joseph Alm and Yusuf
     Mohamad.
 6
 7
          Thank you.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
          Does anybody on the jury know any of the people who were
 9
     just introduced by either the plaintiffs or the defendants?
10
11
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: All right. As you heard my description
12
13
     of the case, has anybody in the jury pool heard of this case
     from any source whatsoever before today?
14
15
          (No response.)
16
               THE COURT: Has anybody read anything about the case?
17
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: All right. Has anyone talked to you
18
     about the case?
19
          Juror No. 24, come on up to the microphone.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Over the years I've read a
21
     lot about Tesla and their practices. You have innuendo and
22
23
              As a citizen, it's hard to tell what's true and what's
     not true, but I have read a lot about Tesla. In fact, I was an
24
25
     investor in the company for a little while, and that's --
```

```
1
     that's what I have to say.
               THE COURT:
                           So with respect to that, will you be able
 2
     to set aside anything that you have read about Tesla and
 3
     evaluate the case based on just the information that comes in
 4
 5
     in this courtroom and based on the legal instructions that I
     make and on your evaluation of the credibility of the witnesses
 6
 7
     and the facts in this case? Will you be able to do that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I can try, but I feel like
 8
     I have a bias against Elon Musk. I think he walks on water and
 9
     he feels like he can get away with anything.
10
11
               THE COURT: And Mr. Musk is not on trial in this
           The -- do you understand that?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I understand that, but his
13
              He runs the company. He sets the policies. He sets
14
15
     the standards, and I believe that the culture that he -- I
16
     don't know -- that the culture he's developed permeates through
17
     the country -- through the company. So when I think of Tesla,
     I think of Elon Musk. I have a hard time separating the two.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, thank you for --
19
     for your thoughts. You can sit down.
20
21
          All right.
                     So --
                              Your Honor, there --
22
               MR. ALEXANDER:
23
               THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Is there somebody --
24
    please come forward.
25
          And what is your juror number?
```

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Number 23. 2 THE COURT: Number 23, okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: So my son used to work at 3 Tesla, and we know quite a few senior executives there. But in 4 5 terms of this case, I'll try my best, but won't be able to guarantee that, you know, from my past experiences and 6 knowledge that -- I mean, I'll try to be fair, but... 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, so the -- that is --8 that will be your duty. I will tell you that your duty is to 9 evaluate the evidence fairly and decide the case just based on 10 11 what you learn about the case together with your fellow jurors in this courtroom and then apply the law that I give you to the 12 13 Would you be able to do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Yeah. I just wanted to 14 15 let you know. That's all. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 17 All right. So this is a civil case. So the jury needs to include a minimum of six people. I'm going to want to have 18 eight or nine jurors to ensure against somebody getting sick or 19 having other troubles. We don't want you to come into court if 20 21 you're sick. And everybody who is selected will participate in 22 the jury deliberations. 23 In the trial of this case, as I was just saying, each side is entitled to have a fair, unbiased, and unprejudiced jury. 24 25 So the purpose of the questions that I'm going to be asking is

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 21 of 116 21

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to enable me to determine whether anybody should be excused for 1 cause and to enable the counsel for the parties to exercise their individual judgment with respect to peremptory challenges. Now, those are challenges for which no reason 5 needs to be given. It's important that you disclose any reason or fact why 7 you might be biased or prejudiced in any way in answer to the questions that you're asked. As we go forward, sometimes I'll ask questions that call for a "yes" or "no" response. If your answer is "yes," raise 11 the card at your seat and I may follow-up immediately or ask to speak individually with you. 12 13 And let me repeat. If at any time you're asked a question that you think calls for a personal or embarrassing response, 14 15 let me know if you prefer to answer it privately. So that the 16 lawyers and court reporter can listen, but the other members of 17 the jury cannot. No one wants to pry into your personal affairs, but the question is being asked because the answer 18

could have an impact on your ability to sit as a juror in this case.

So we just -- we just had a couple of people who were aware of or had some experiences with Tesla. Let me ask the -everybody: Have you or anyone close to you ever worked for or at the Tesla Fremont facility?

Juror No. 12, would you step to the mic?

1 And what did you do at Tesla? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: It was my cousin, and she worked there for a few years at the Fremont facility and she 3 4 was in HR actually. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And did anything that you learn from her, would that impact your ability to sit as a fair and 6 7 impartial juror in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: I don't think so. 8 was -- so she only worked there for a few years, and then she 9 ended up getting fired and I was told from her to not like 10 11 So -- but I will -- I could be impartial and unbiased 12 and all of that, so... 13 THE COURT: You haven't had any personal experiences with Tesla? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: No. 16 THE COURT: And the key for a juror is to be able to 17 sit here and listen and judge people fairly as they come in about this particular experience, which obviously is not your 18 19 cousin's experience. So do you think you would be able to do 20 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: 21 Yes. 22 **THE COURT:** Great. Thank you. 23 Anybody else? 24 (No response.) 25 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone -- oops, I'm

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 23 of 116 23 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
     sorry.
             We're spread around the courtroom, so please come up to
 2
     the mic.
          And what's your juror number?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33:
                                          33.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. And so tell me about your
 6
     experience with Tesla.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33:
                                          I didn't personally work
     there, but I had a good friend and my aunt actually worked
 8
 9
             I didn't hear any -- anything really bad too much about
     there.
             And my aunt, I guess she was -- she felt like she was
10
     Tesla.
11
     wrongfully terminated too, but, you know, it is what it is.
               THE COURT: So do you think that what you have heard
12
13
     from her and what you know from her would impact your ability
     to evaluate the evidence fairly here and treat both sides
14
15
     fairly?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I don't -- yeah, hopefully
17
     I'll be able to separate it.
               THE COURT:
18
                           Okay.
                                  Great.
                                          Thank you.
          Has anyone here either owned or leased a Tesla?
19
          Okay. You don't need to step up to the mic, but it is --
20
21
     would you raise your juror numbers just so that I know?
          Juror No. 8 and Juror No. 20, is there anything about your
22
23
     experience with that vehicle, that owning or leasing the Tesla,
     that would impact your ability to sit as a fair and impartial
24
25
     juror here? If the answer is "yes," I'd like you to come to
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 24 of 116 24 PROCEEDINGS

```
the microphone; but if the answer is "no," you may remain
 1
 2
     seated.
          (No response.)
 3
                          Everybody's sitting.
 4
               THE COURT:
 5
          Does anybody own stock in Tesla?
 6
          Juror No. 20 again and Juror No. 8. You both own stock.
 7
     Is there anything about that, owning stock, that would impact
     your ability to be fair to both sides?
 8
          And everybody -- both of you are shaking your heads "no,"
 9
     and that's fine.
10
11
          Has anybody here or anyone close to you ever worked in an
     automotive manufacturing plant or company?
12
13
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: Has anybody worked for a tech company in
14
15
     Silicon Valley?
16
          All right.
                     So let's start with the Juror No. 5. Would
17
     you come over to the microphone, please?
          So where have you or do you work?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: I'm currently working at a
19
20
     company called Okta, O-K-T-A.
21
               THE COURT: And what do you do there.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: I am a manager. I manage
22
23
     an engineering team.
               THE COURT: Great.
24
          All right. And have you ever had any connection with
25
```

```
Tesla or Elon Musk or SpaceX?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No. I probably purchased
     some stocks, but I don't own anything now. I purchased
 3
     Space -- Micro Space, whatever that other one. Yeah, but that
 4
 5
     was in the past. I don't own anything now.
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Great. Thank you.
 6
 7
          Who else raised their -- No. 13, if you wouldn't mind
     coming to the mic.
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: I work in Cisco Systems,
     San Jose.
10
11
               THE COURT: I missed that. I'm sorry.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: I'm working in Cisco
12
13
     Systems, San Jose.
               THE COURT: Ah. And how long have you been working
14
15
     there?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: About 16 years.
17
               THE COURT: And what do you do?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: I'm a quality assurance
18
     engineer, QA engineer.
19
               THE COURT: Great. And have you ever worked with or
20
    had connection with Tesla or any -- or SpaceX or Mr. Musk?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13:
22
                                          No.
23
               THE COURT: Great. Okay. Thank you.
          Juror No. 20, come on up.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: I work for Infinera, it's
25
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 26 of 116 26 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
a telecom company, as a -- my title is director of operation.
 1
     We used to run the production line in Sunnyvale.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. And the same -- the same
 3
     questions. Besides what you've already said, is there -- do
 4
 5
     you have any connection with Tesla or SpaceX --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: No.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: -- or Mr. Musk?
          Okay, thank you.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Thank you.
 9
               THE COURT: Juror No. 23.
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: I work for PlayStation and
12
     I'm a technical program manager.
13
               THE COURT: Great. Besides what you've already told
     us, any other connections?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23:
16
               THE COURT: Thank you.
17
          And No. 26?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Good morning, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT:
                           I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Good morning, Your Honor.
20
21
               THE COURT: Good morning.
          And so where are you -- where have you worked?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Previously I worked at
     Workdek.
24
25
               THE COURT: And what were you doing?
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 27 of 116 27 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: I was interning as a
 2
     quality assurance associate at the time.
                          And how long were you there?
               THE COURT:
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: About six months.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: And did you have any connection with
     Tesla or SpaceX or Mr. Musk?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: In no way, shape, or form.
                                  Thank you very much.
               THE COURT: Okay.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: You're welcome, sir.
 9
               THE COURT: Anybody else?
10
11
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: All right. Has -- is anybody here --
12
13
     have you or any members of your immediate family or close
     friends ever worked for a judge or a lawyer or a law office?
14
15
          Juror No. 18, step on up to the microphone, please.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Good morning.
17
               THE COURT: Good morning.
          And so tell me about your experience.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: My -- my first office job
19
20
     was for patent and trademark lawyers as an administrative
21
     assistant in the trademark department specifically for
     international, and about a year. It was a very long time ago.
22
23
               THE COURT: Was that fun?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Some days. Some days not
25
     so much.
```

```
(Laughter.)
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: They were, however,
     process the Ford lawsuits about the windshield wipers.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Great.
                                          Thank you very much.
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:
                                          Sure.
                                                 Thanks.
          I don't know if you also want to know that my current
 6
 7
     company was working with SpaceX for a research project that was
     sent up a few years back to the ISS.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. And does -- and did you -- do you
     have any direct relationship with them?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:
11
                                          No.
               THE COURT: Is there anything about --
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Just that we utilized
13
     their equipment to get it there.
14
15
               THE COURT: Is there anything about that that would
16
     impact your ability to be a fair and impartial juror?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Not particularly.
                                                              It got
     the things where they needed to go.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Great. Thank you. I appreciate
     that.
20
21
          Is there anybody else with respect to SpaceX -- who was
     any sort of connection with SpaceX?
22
23
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone here who
24
25
     suffers from any mental, physical, or emotional impairment that
```

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 29 of 116 29 PROCEEDINGS

```
would make it difficult for you to sit as a fair and impartial
 1
     in this case.
 2
          (No response.)
 3
                           Is anybody having any trouble
 4
               THE COURT:
 5
     understanding what I've been saying today?
          (No response.)
 6
 7
                           So I do appreciate everybody's filling
               THE COURT:
     out the questionnaires and the supplemental questionnaires.
 8
     have a number of people indicated that either they or a close
 9
     friend or relative had been discriminated against because of
10
11
     race, ethnic background, gender, religion, sexual identity or
     orientation, or economic status. And so I wanted to ask those
12
13
     people who were -- who indicated that they had -- that had been
     their experience some questions about that, and I can do it
14
15
     here in the courtroom; or if you would prefer, we can do it at
16
     a break, but I'm now going to ask those folks.
17
          Juror No. 2, if you would -- yes. Thank you.
          So I'm interested -- and you can move that down.
18
19
     you qo.
          I am interested in what the experience was that you were
20
     referring to.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Well, my uncle worked for a
22
23
     company here in the city for almost about 20 years.
     bought out recently -- well, not recently, but at the time and
24
25
     the new ownership pretty much kind of pushed everybody out on
```

1 the top.

And he worked there for about, maybe, six months until he kind of noticed the changed offices. He moved locations, and then he started having meetings with the new, like, CFO I guess, and he started to notice that he was feeling more, like, I guess discrimination because everybody at the top was one nationality.

And then -- yeah, so he ended up quitting before they could let him go. He really didn't get into much details. And I was working there at the time too so I would see the CFO walking back and forth and he was nice to me, but it was kind of hard to work there knowing he was in a lawsuit with my uncle at the time.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I missed that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: It was hard to see him while I was still working at the company knowing he was -- he had a lawsuit with my uncle at the time.

THE COURT: So is there -- despite that experience, would you be able to judge the -- sit and fairly evaluate the evidence in this case which involves claims of discrimination that are denied by Tesla? So you would be -- you'd have to weigh the evidence fairly for both sides. Would you be able to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Juror No. 7, would you mind stepping up unless -- and if you want to do this personally -- in private, it's perfectly fine. Just let me know. **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:** Good morning. THE COURT: Good morning. So tell me -- tell me what your -- the experience is that you're referring to here. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Well, you know, I came to the United States when I was 16 years old. I have lived here for quite a few years, became a U.S. citizen. But throughout my -- my stay here in the States, you know, I have encountered several cases -- several times when, you know, I feel -- I have felt discriminated against, you know, based on my race. Sometimes -- there has been comments directed to my person. And although -- I think it has affected me in some ways, but, you know, after -- after this many years living here, you know, and becoming part of -- part of society here, you know, I kind of -- I don't know if I have become numb or tried to, like -- kind of like it aside as an isolated incidence, you know, isolated people. I try not to think of general population as, you know, I've targeted by them, you know; but the other -- there's been -- there's been a few -- a few times where I have -- when I have felt targeted. THE COURT: And so the -- would you be able to sit and fairly evaluate claims of someone who feels that he was

```
discriminated against and the claim -- and the claims of the
 1
 2
     company that says that's not what -- that that's not the case?
     Would you be able to do that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: So, yeah, I can -- I can
 4
 5
     try to put aside my personal experiences, you know, in regards
 6
     to that, you know, and try to be fair and impartial and, like
 7
     you said, you know, just consider just what's been presented as
     evidence.
 8
          I know this is kind of delicate, you know, as far as
 9
     putting aside your personal experiences and how -- and how you
10
11
     have felt even beforehand, but, yeah, I can -- I can try to put
     that aside and just -- just look at what's been presented in
12
     front of me.
13
               THE COURT: So you think you could be fair and
14
15
     impartial?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
17
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
          Juror No. 11. Good morning.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Good morning.
19
               THE COURT: So what was the experience you were
20
21
     referring to in your supplemental questionnaire answer?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11:
                                          I'm not, like, super
23
     familiar with it, but when I was younger, my mom told me at her
     old job she was constantly passed over by her white
24
     counterparts even though she was doing more work or the same
25
```

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 33 of 116 33 PROCEEDINGS

work as them, and every time she applied for a higher position, 1 2 she would always be passed up. That was it. THE COURT: So is there anything from your mom's 3 experience that you would carry over to this courtroom so that 4 5 you couldn't treat each side and the evidence that came in fairly? 6 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11**: I don't think so. 7 I think I could, like, separate the two. 8 9 **THE COURT:** Okay. Great. Thank you. 10 Juror No. 12, what were you referring to? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: So both my mom's white and 12 my dad's Japanese and so they -- like, when they went down to 13 the south, they got a lot of flack for being together. 14 yeah. 15 THE COURT: And so this is a case that is claiming 16 that there is discrimination based on race that created a 17 hostile environment. Is -- would you be able to listen to the evidence and evaluate it fairly on both sides in order to be a 18 19 fair and impartial juror? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. And Juror No. 18. What experience were you referring to? 22 23 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:** So I've had gender discrimination also at work, and I think it -- anyone would be 24 25 hard pressed not to have experienced other forms in their life.

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 34 of 116 34 **PROCEEDINGS**

And as a working person, I've helped people communicate with HR 1 and done by standard-type intervention in the workplace, so... 2 THE COURT: And so knowing -- having those 3 experiences, knowing what little I've told you about this case, 4 5 do you think you'd be able to sit as a fair and impartial 6 juror? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes, I think so. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 Juror No. 20. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Good morning again. 10 11 So usually I don't think there's much discrimination around me, but just recently I've been hearing from my friends 12 13 saying that they didn't -- I'm from Taiwan but looks like Chinese, but some kids got called COVID because of the recent 14 15 pandemic. So I think that's -- I heard that -- I heard that on 16 the news, but recently I've got friends' kids that experience 17 this. So I think there may be discrimination still existing in our society. 18 19 THE COURT: Yeah. And so with that reference, do you 20 think you'd be able as to sit as a fair and impartial on the --21 in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: I would be fair, yes. 22 23 Thank you. **THE COURT:** Okay. Juror No. 23. And what experience were you referring to. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: So I had to -- it was back 25

at school with one of the professors. 1 2 THE COURT: I'm missing that. I hate to --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Sorry. 3 I was back at school with one of the professors, there was 4 5 some discrimination. This was when I was going to school in 6 Boston. 7 THE COURT: And, again, given what you know about this case, would you be able to set those experiences aside and 8 9 sit as a fair and impartial juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Yes. 10 Yes. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Juror No. 26. So tell me, what was the experience you 12 13 were referring to or experiences? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: The experience I was 14 15 referring to was when I was, I believe, 17 years old. 16 my first job at a grocery store, and one of the managers called 17 me the "N" word and I reported this to the shop steward. The shop steward reported this to my manager, and that was it. 18 19 Nothing happened after that. THE COURT: Nothing happened? 20 And so does that experience -- so that's sort of closer to 21 one of the experiences that Mr. Diaz will be describing. 22 Would 23 you be able to set what happened to you in a different employment situation, totally different facts, aside and just 24 25 judge this case based on what you learn from the witnesses

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 36 of 116 36 **PROCEEDINGS**

1 and -- and all the -- and the documents and all the facts that come into this case? 2 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26:** Absolutely. I'll be able 3 to set my feelings aside. It will not impair me with this --4 5 this case. THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you very much. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Thank you. THE COURT: Juror No. 30. 8 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:** Good morning, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 11 What was the experience that you were referring to? 12 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:** Your Honor, I was raised 13 in Hattiesburg, Mississippi. My mother, she was a single mom. She worked in a textile factory and often time she came home 14 15 and complained about her supervisor calling her the "N" word a 16 few times on the job. Nothing ever transpired from that, but 17 she just kept on working until we eventually got out of 18 Mississippi and moved to California. 19 Whereas, as I was coming up, you know, it was kind of a change in the scenery, but the -- I say the environment --20 well, what I was feeling was still the same; whereas, there 21 was, I don't know, it felt like some kind of inequities in 22 school where the teachers weren't paying more attention to me 23 where they were paying more attention to white kids, you know. 24 25 It made me feel a little, I don't know, some kind of way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Maybe deep down I kind of vowed that, you I don't know. know, I would like to correct this here kind of behavior, and I wouldn't -- I don't want to inflict that kind of behavior injustice on anyone as I got older. So as regards to this case, I probably can empathize with this guy's pain, but I feel at the same time I would like to be involved so that I can make this decision the right way just the best way I can. THE COURT: So the issue there would be, would everybody be starting out at the same place in your mind? That despite the experiences that you've had and your desire to make things right and a better world and, you know, the -- it's -- I was struck by how many people have had the experience of being discriminated against or having close friends be discriminated against just in your answers. But would you be able to put Tesla and Mr. Diaz at the same starting place? Because you don't know what happened to Mr. Diaz, and he may say one thing, but there may be reasons that you find that either didn't happen or it didn't rise to the level of where I'm going to instruct you the law is. you be able to do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes, sir. Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. While you're here, let me ask you. The -- in your jury

questionnaire when the question was "Is there anything else you want to tell the judge," you wrote down "Guilty." Tell me what you were thinking about there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Judge, initially I did not want to come. I did not want to come, but as -- as I started thinking about it, you know, this is an opportunity to make -- right a wrong, an injustice in our society, and so I would -- I would like to experience the judicial -- the jury process; and now that I'm here, even more so. I have the opportunity to be involved in a court proceeding such as this one. I'm more motivated to be involved. So as far as that "guilty" statement that I put in there, I wish I hadn't.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you know, people say things all the time so I'm -- I'm always interested in that.

But let me just go back one more time because you've mentioned a couple of times righting a wrong, and at the moment there is no wrong in this courtroom. There's an allegation about it, and -- but there's no -- there's no evidence yet that that did or didn't occur.

And it's very important that if I seat you as a juror, that I have your assurance that you are going to be able to be fair and unbiased and that the -- what you'll be doing is being a fair and impartial juror, considering all the thoughts that everybody else has about the case and the evidence, and then rendering a fair verdict. Will you be able to do that?

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
                           Okay. All right. Thank you.
               THE COURT:
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
                                          Thank you.
 3
          Juror No. 31. Good morning.
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Good morning, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: And tell me what the experience was that
 6
 7
     you were referring to.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: So it's kind of irrelevant
 8
     for this situation, but my mom was a paraplegic all my life.
 9
     So growing up and being part of her live, she was basically
10
11
     ignored because of that. When I was eight, people would ask me
     questions rather than ask my mom, and I would be like lost.
12
13
     But it's -- it wouldn't affect me in that way.
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  So you --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31:
                                          In either way. I was just
16
     answering the question.
17
               THE COURT: Great. Okay.
                                         Thank you very much.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31:
18
                                          Sure.
19
               THE COURT: And Juror No. 33.
                                              And what was the
20
     experience that you were referring to?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I was just referring to
21
     like an experience. My mom just moved down to South Carolina
22
23
     about four years ago, and she was having a hard time looking
     for jobs and stuff and, you know, the pay rate and everything
24
25
     is, like, very different there. So just the hiring person --
```

the personnel was going to hire her and stuff, she heard the 1 person utter like, you know, this "N" word or whatever. 2 But, you know, that was my experience just hearing it. 3 mean, I deal with it every day. I'm pretty sure a lot of us 4 5 do, but I was speaking more in general terms of that. THE COURT: So -- and dealing -- and dealing with it 6 7 every day is -- I get it. Would you be able to fairly evaluate both sides of the 8 Start everybody at the same place? Listen to the 9 evidence? Figure out what's true and what's not? And render a 10 11 fair verdict with the jury given the experiences that you have had in your life? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I believe so. I mean, because everybody is different. So I don't take it to a whole 14 15 broad amount of people. It's just that person or whatever. THE COURT: And I think that that's right. 16 Everybody 17 is different. Every factual situation is different. And the great thing about jury service is that you'll be 18 is sitting with at least, you know, five other people, 19 hopefully seven or eight, and discussing at the end of the case 20 what's real and what's not and considering their experiences as 21 well as yours and what -- what they learned through the trial 22 23 and trying to come to a same decision. 24 So, great. Thank you. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Thank you. Appreciate it.

(Brief pause.) 1 THE COURT: So nobody needs to -- there are -- I have 2 a number of other questions that are going to touch on some of 3 the same issues that we've just discussed. And so for people 4 5 that have raised the issue that they had, the thing that was relevant, you don't have to come back on this, but I'm 6 7 interested in knowing whether any of you or anyone close to you have worked in human resources besides the jurors who have 8 already indicated that. 9 Juror No.s 12 and 18. 10 11 Has anyone -- have you or anyone close to you ever 12 brought a complaint to human resources. 13 (No response.) 14 **THE COURT:** Have any -- oh, 18. Okay. Same -- same 15 information, I take it. 16 Okay. 17 And 26. All right. Okay. And has anyone here ever been accused of racial harassment 18 or discrimination? 19 Do you want to step forward, please? 20 Juror No. 24. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I was accused of sexual 21 harassment, and it wasn't racial or anything like that, but I 22 kind of put them in the same category. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And is there anything about the 24 25 way that that matter was resolved that would impact your

ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror here? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: 2 Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. 3 Besides what I've already asked, is there anyone who has 4 5 worked in a work environment that you felt was racially 6 hostile? 7 All right. And you've described that, Juror No. 26. Anybody else? 8 9 (No response.) THE COURT: Okay. Have -- besides what's already 10 11 been said, has anyone -- have you or anyone close to you ever been accused of threatening somebody in the workplace? 12 13 Juror No. 12. Come -- yeah, come and tell me about that. 14 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12:** It was my dad. He was, 15 like, sticking up -- also, when I raised my number before, it 16 was my dad who works in HR too, so not just my cousin who did. 17 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: But, yeah, my dad is in HR 18 for -- I don't remember the company, but one of the tech 19 20 companies. It's not Tesla. It doesn't have to do with SpaceX 21 or anything. And so he threatened someone at his workplace when he was, like, my age-ish, and he -- because they were, 22 23 like, being mean to my mom and they were, like, coworkers or whatever. So he threatened to snap her skinny little neck. 24 So 25 that was, yeah, my experience.

#### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 43 of 116 43 **PROCEEDINGS**

1 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that experience that would make a difference to you --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: No. 3 THE COURT: -- in this case. 4 5 And with your work in HR, will that make you automatically more sympathetic to any witnesses who testify from HR because 6 7 you know about the pressures and difficulties of the job? **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12:** Not really, no. 8 My cousin 9 was in, like, a part of HR that wasn't -- I mean, she was dealing with people, but it was more so, like, turn it off, 10 11 then on again sort of thing. Like -- Like, tech, IT-HR stuff. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12:** And then my dad was, like, managing and working to write stuff for qualifications for 14 15 people to work at the company that he's at. So it doesn't have 16 to do with, like, a complaint against other people or anything 17 like that. THE COURT: 18 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: 19 Yeah. 20 **THE COURT:** Okay. Great. Thank you. Okay. Let me switch topics a bit. The -- has anybody 21 here ever worked for a temporary staffing company? 22 23 Juror No. 4, would you come to the microphone, please? 24 Good morning. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Good morning.

## Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 44 of 116 44 PROCEEDINGS

```
1
               THE COURT:
                          So tell me -- tell me who you worked for
 2
     and what did you do?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: This was called -- this was
 3
     so long ago, probably at least 15 years ago, for a -- it was a
 4
 5
     health staffing agency for nurses and phlebotomists in
 6
     San Bruno.
 7
               THE COURT: And did -- was your experience with
     that -- what was your experience like with that company?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Good experience.
 9
     just the office manager for that company.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.
11
          And who else?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: I misunderstood the
13
14
     question.
15
               THE COURT: That was Juror No. 7.
                                                  That's -- it's
16
    not -- it's not relevant to you.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         Yes.
               THE COURT: Okay. Who else? Anybody else work for a
18
19
     temporary staffing agency?
20
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: Has anybody worked for West Valley
21
     Staffing Group, Chartwell Staffing, CitiStaff Solutions, or
22
    NextSource?
23
24
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: Have any of you had a job where you were
25
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 45 of 116 45 PROCEEDINGS

```
making personnel decisions, such as hiring and firing?
 1
 2
          Okay. So that's Jurors No. 18, 20, 23, 1, 5, 7, and your
    number is --
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: 31.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: -- 31.
          Okay. So I haven't spoken with you yet, Juror No. 1,
 6
     would you mind?
 7
          Good morning.
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Good morning.
 9
          So I work for a school district, and I often, a couple
10
11
     times at least, have been chosen to be on a panel, an interview
    panel, but not ultimately made the final decision.
12
13
               THE COURT: Okay. And how about on the other side?
     Have you ever been involved in any firing decisions?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1:
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
17
          And who else was there? No. 5? Would you mind?
          This is a good way of getting your 10,000 steps in, so...
18
          So tell me what your involvement is with hiring or firing.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: As I said, I'm a manager so
20
     I hire engineers on my team and I have to let go. I have to --
21
22
     you know, if performance is not good, I have let go one or two
23
    people.
               THE COURT: And so would that experience make you
24
25
     more sympathetic at the outset to people who are in that
```

## Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 46 of 116 46 PROCEEDINGS

```
1
     position? Will you be able to evaluate the evidence fairly?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5:
                                         Yeah.
                                                Absolutely.
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yeah.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Who else raised their -- Juror No. 8.
 6
     Would you mind stepping up to the mic?
 7
          Good morning.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Good morning.
 8
               THE COURT:
                           So --
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: So --
10
11
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: So I'm a solo practitioner
12
13
     CPA so I have my own firm. Of course, I do my own hiring and
     firing over the years.
14
15
               THE COURT: And will you be able to look at all of
16
     the witnesses and judge their credibility fairly and treat all
17
     the parties in this courtroom fairly?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I can try.
18
19
               THE COURT: Is there anything that makes you think
20
     that you won't be able to do that?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: No, I don't think so.
22
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 8: But there is something else
     that I want to say, is I am facing a stressful time because
24
     October 15th is my last filing deadline for the 2020 tax year,
25
```

```
and I still have a bunch to do.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. And -- so -- and
     related to that is the fact that we're going to be going
 3
     basically half days from 8:30 to 1:30, so you'll be able to be
 4
 5
     working at those other times, will that -- does that allay your
 6
     stress at all?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: From 2:00 o'clock until
 7
     12:00 o'clock?
 8
 9
               THE COURT: And how about this being a much shorter
     trial than the other trials that you might be called to in this
10
11
     court?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Okay. Yeah, but that's
12
13
     still only four more weeks to go.
               THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you very much.
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8:
                                         Thank you.
16
               THE COURT: I appreciate it.
17
          All right. Who else had their hand up? Let's see, is
     there -- let me -- let me ask of the people whose -- the other
18
19
     folks, is there anything about what you have done in terms of
20
     your managerial responsibilities that would make you treat
21
     managers in a better -- in a different and better way than
22
     anybody else who was called to testify in this case?
23
          (No verbal response.)
               THE COURT: Okay. Everybody says "no."
24
25
          All right. Has anyone seen anyone make a false accusation
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 48 of 116 48 PROCEEDINGS

```
of racism or harassment in the workplace?
 1
 2
          (No response.)
                          Have you ever seen someone overreact or
 3
               THE COURT:
     get offended by a harmless or joking comment in the workplace?
 4
 5
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: Have you ever known someone who was
 6
 7
     falsely excused of racism or discrimination?
 8
          (No response.)
               THE COURT: Have you ever worked in a workplace where
 9
     coworkers often made racial jokes or trash talk with each other
10
11
     without being offended?
          Okay. So let's go first to -- is it No. 30? Yes.
12
                                                               Come
13
     on up.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Hi, Your Honor, again.
14
          Yes, where I currently work at. So I'm a nurse and -- and
15
16
     some of my coworkers, they speak just casually to each other
17
     but not to me, and I overhear them call each other the "N" word
     and -- and I -- I don't argue with them, but I just tell them
18
19
     that they can't say that word, especially I don't ever want to
20
     hear them say it.
          And so now when they greet each other, they don't actually
21
     say the "N" word, but they say something sort of to it, but
22
23
     they don't actually say it, as if that should offend me less,
     and that's what I'm currently dealing with.
24
25
               THE COURT: So there it's something that doesn't seem
```

to impact them, but it impacts you? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. And I made them 2 aware of it, and so I quess they're trying to change it by 3 saying something less, but I kind of -- it's still -- it's 4 5 still there --THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: -- and it's just -- that's just something that bothers me still. 8 9 THE COURT: Sure. Sure. Thank you. And Juror No. 33, did you have your hand up also? 10 11 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33**: Yeah. I just wanted to 12 say that's -- that's happened at every job I ever worked. 13 crap talk and just the "N" word. If you're Chinese, chink; you know, Mexican, beanbag, whatever, it's always been around. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. And so will you be able to -- I'm 16 going to give you instructions on the law about what severe and 17 pervasive harassment is in the workplace, what kind of environment is permissible and not. Will you be able to 18 judge -- use my instructions with the evidence that you learn 19 here in order to come up with your verdict as opposed to 20 21 applying whatever things happened in workplaces that you've been involved in before? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I believe so. THE COURT: Okay. 24 Thank you. 25 Has anyone here seen or heard about someone playing the

race card in order to get special treatment? 1 2 Okay. Let's go to No. 30 first. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: So, again, I spend a lot 3 of time at work and this incident a female coworker of mine, 4 5 she was telling me about her experience on the freeway where she was driving in a carpool lane with no license, speeding, 6 7 and she got pulled over and it was a white cop that pulled her over. Oh, and she didn't have her registration nor insurance, 8 nothing, And she didn't get a ticket. The officer told her to 9 10 go home. 11 And I said to her, "Hey, do you really think that would happen if a black person got pulled over and had no license, 12 13 speeding, no registration or anything, and in a car pool lane? Do you think that a black person -- if that were to happen with 14 15 a black person, he would just go home freely?" And she said, 16 "Well, I quess that's a privilege." 17 And that struck me as kind of like -- it just flabbergast me, basically. But I guess -- I feel like that's the world 18 we're living in and that's unfortunate. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 Thank you. And Juror No. 24. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: This is probably going to 22 23 sound trivial, but I do believe in full disclosure. And, you know, we talk about the race card, you read about that in 24 politics all the time, and I just think it's inescapable. 25 Ι

1 think it's ugly and all that, but it is what it is. It turns 2 me off, actually. THE COURT: All right. Good. Thank you. 3 So the -- as you've heard, the crux -- an important part 4 5 of this case involves the -- includes Mr. Diaz's allegations that he was called a "nigger" at Tesla with some frequency. 6 7 There are going to be disputes about that, the context in which it was used. 8 Is there anyone who would be so affected by hearing that 9 term that they'd unable -- that they'd be unable to serve as a 10 11 fair and impartial juror? 12 (No response.) 13 THE COURT: Do lawsuits that seek money for emotional distress seem frivolous or wrong or rub you the wrong way? 14 15 Juror No. 24, come on up. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Personally I think the 17 tort system needs to be reformed. I think the courts have a lot of rulings that are outsized relative to the weight of the 18 19 People are all alone. They need to be righted, if you 20 follow what I'm saying. But I think the tort system on both 21 sides needs to be reformed; and if I were king of the world for a day, I would do it, but I'm not. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, so I'm sort of king of the day when it comes to the law in this case. So would you be 24 25 able to follow my instructions on the law, whatever they are,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a fair way and evaluate them in terms of the evidence that comes into the courtroom and render a verdict based on the law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I -- I can do that. **THE COURT:** Okay. Great. Thank you. So, ladies and gentlemen, in this case you, and I hope this is coming through in the comments that I'm making, you will sit as the judge of the facts. I instruct you on the law, but deciding the facts is your job. The jurors are selected as the sole judges of the facts. You're duty bound to follow the law as I give it to you, but eventually you will apply that law to the facts as you find them from the evidence that's presented here. It's your duty to treat all witnesses equally and not to assume that someone is truthful or not truthful based on their profession or looks or anything else. You can't make assumptions about credibility until you hear what somebody has to say, and then you can evaluate that and a whole list of factors that I'll instruct you about once the trial starts. Just as an example, consider testimony from a law enforcement officer in a criminal case. You might have strong positive or negative feelings based on your prior experience with law enforcement about whether she's credible, and I'm going to -- I would instruct you not to assume that she's

either more credible or less credible than any other human

being who comes into this courtroom. You need to hear what she

has to say and how she says it before judging credibility.

The responsibility of judging the facts has to be performed without bias or prejudice to any party. The law doesn't permit jurors to be governed by sympathy, prejudice, or public opinion. The parties will expect that you'll be -- that you will carefully and impartially consider all the evidence, follow the law as stated by me, and reach a just verdict regardless of the consequences.

So do you know of any reason whatsoever why you could not sit with absolute impartiality of both sides as a juror in this case?

No. 31, come on up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Can I say it privately?

THE COURT: I'm sorry? Yes.

So at the end of my questions, we'll probably take a break and at that point anybody who wants to speak privately should remain outside. Ms. Davis will come get you and bring you in one at a time.

So, yes. Thank you.

So this is a civil case. The burden of proof in a civil case is different than that in a criminal case. In a criminal case, every essential element of the offense charged has to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt. But in a civil case, a fact can be established by a preponderance of the evidence; that is to say, by evidence that establishes that a fact is more likely

true than not true. That's the difference of the required 1 proof in a criminal and a civil case. 2 Are you all prepared to follow the law as given to you by 3 me in your consideration of the evidence? 4 5 (Jury panel nodding affirmatively.) THE COURT: Jurors are not -- may not express or form 6 7 any opinion on the merits of the case until the end of trial when it's been finally submitted to them for their verdict; 8 that is to say, until they've had the benefit of the arguments 9 of counsel and the instructions of the Court. 10 11 If you're selected to sit on this case, will you be able and willing to render a verdict based solely on the evidence 12 13 presented at trial and the law as I give it to you in my instructions and disregard any ideas, notions, or beliefs about 14 15 the law that you may have encountered other than my 16 instructions when you reach a verdict? 17 (No response.) THE COURT: One of the instructions I'll give you is 18 that you should not discuss the case with anyone until your 19 jury service is concluded. Does anybody here have any problems 20 21 with keeping things confidential? 22 (No response.) 23 THE COURT: As I said earlier, this case is going to take six or seven days, not including the time it takes to 24 25 deliberate. I recognize that service on the jury is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inconvenient for everybody because it requires you to do something other than what you would ordinarily be doing and it interferes with a number of your plans; but, as I said before, our system of justice depends on everyone's willingness to serve. That said, does anyone have any special disability or problem that would make serving as a member of this jury difficult or impossible? All right. What I will do is ask each of you to wait until the break and then come in one at a time and we can discuss your issues. If you were one of the parties in this case, do you know of any reason, other than anything you may have said so far, why you would not be content to have the case tried by someone with your frame of mind? (No response.) THE COURT: Can anybody think of any other matter besides what you may tell us privately that may have some bearing on your qualifications as a juror or that may prevent your rendering a fair and impartial verdict based solely on the evidence and on my instructions? (No response.) THE COURT: All right. So those are the questions that I have. Why don't we take a 15-minute break, and let me tell you what you can and can't do while you're on the break,

and then we'll come back.

It's very important that you come back to the same seat that you're in. That's a matter of courtesy and respect for other people. We need to get you back on time.

While we're on -- while you're on the break, please don't discuss the subject matter of the case, the questionnaire, or the proceedings today with anybody. Don't do any research about it. Don't communicate with anything -- anybody about it by phone, text, social media. Don't post anything about it anywhere. If you see the lawyers or anyone connected with the case, don't speak to them. That seems rude, but that's -- but that's the order. Don't speak to them.

If you're selected as a juror, I have a very long instruction for you that's related to this; but for now, don't communicate with anybody, including your fellow jurors, about this case in any way and if you hear others communicating about it, walk away from them and then let Ms. Davis know.

If this instruction is disregarded, I may have to start this all over again. So these rules protect everybody and ensure that the verdict that's rendered in the case is based solely on what the parties bring out here in court. It assures the appearance and the reality of absolute impartiality. This is what the parties and the Court expect of you.

So we are -- we'll now be on break until 11:30. I will tell you that on the second floor there's a cafeteria, and it's

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 57 of 116 57 PROCEEDINGS

```
1
     a place that I frequent from time to time and the food is
     reasonable and reasonably priced, but I want you to be back
 2
     here at 11:30.
 3
          And anybody who wants to speak privately to me may do so,
 4
 5
     but I'd like you to wait outside and then Ms. Davis will come
 6
     and get you in just a second.
 7
          All right. Thank you.
          (Jury exits the courtroom at 11:08 a.m.)
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Everybody can be seated.
          And, Ms. Davis, why don't you go and get the -- get the
10
11
     first...
          (Pause in proceedings.)
12
13
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Juror No. 31.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31:
14
                                          Hello.
15
                                What can I do for you?
               THE COURT:
                          Hi.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Well, I've been party to a
17
     lawsuit that went on for, like, four years and just finished
     two weeks ago; and no offense, but I have a very low opinion of
18
19
     attorneys.
                 I mean, rock bottom.
               THE COURT: Uh-huh. Well, so some of my best friends
20
21
     are lawyers, I just have to tell you.
          But the -- there are lawyers on both sides in this case
22
23
     so -- so does that make you think that -- that you couldn't
     be -- you couldn't sift through the information that you're
24
25
     getting?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
The lawyers will always present their client's best case,
and it's your job to understand what happens up here in the
witness stand and be credible -- and judge credibility. Do you
think you'd be able to do that?
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: I just got -- to be
honest, I'm not trying to get out of jury duty, but just being
in terms of doing it. You've got to remember, three to four
years of your life is a lot of time. I was directly involved
and I dealt with, like, a lot of inaccurate information that we
could prove was inaccurate; and even though they kept doing it,
they never got in trouble for giving inaccurate information.
They just said, "Oh, it's not right." And just two weeks after
four years is not much of a gap.
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31:
                                    I can tell just how
frustrated I was being in here. It's really odd. I didn't
expect this.
          THE COURT:
                     Okay. All right. Well, I appreciate
      Thank you for letting me know.
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Okay.
     (Pause in proceedings.)
          THE COURT: Juror No. 18.
          PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
                                          Sorry for the
inconvenience.
     Can you repeat for me the time frame that you mentioned
```

1 that's expected? 2 Yes. So I expect that the closing THE COURT: arguments will occur no later than a week from Monday, and then 3 the jury will deliberate. So it would be -- I would be -- I 4 5 would be quite certain that by two weeks from today the case 6 will be complete. 7 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:** Okay. I think the other thing that was on my mind was some of the questions that people 8 were responding to, I didn't think were on my questionnaire. 9 10 THE COURT: That's true. You got the same -- I 11 assure you, you got the same questionnaire that everybody else So that -- so what I did was I read the questionnaire. 12 13 have a number of questions that I ask in every case, and 14 then -- then there's some other questions that are more 15 specific to the case that I wanted to ask. So everybody -- you 16 got the same thing as everybody else. 17 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:** Fair enough. I just was a little concerned. 18 19 THE COURT: Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: So -- but thank you for --20 21 for clarifying that for me. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: The timeline is a little bit tough because we're fiscal yearend. The half days might 24 25 help, but they only -- my employer will only subsidize about

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 60 of 116 60 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
five days including today. So that might be really hard for me
 1
 2
     financially at a point --
               THE COURT: Okay.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: -- due to other
 4
 5
     circumstances right now, like my whole house is ripped apart.
 6
     So I appreciate you repeating the time frame for me.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  Thank you very much.
          (Pause in proceedings.)
 8
               THE COURT:
                          Remind me your juror number.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11:
10
                                          11.
11
               THE COURT:
                           11.
                                Okay, go ahead.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: I just want to say that
13
     it's close to midterms, and I have a lot of tests coming up and
14
     most of my classes are based on participation so it just would
15
     be really hard for me to serve on the jury.
16
               THE COURT: Where are you going to school?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: S.F. State.
18
               THE COURT: And what year are you?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11:
                                          This is my third year.
20
               THE COURT: And did you also say that you've got two
     jobs?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11:
22
                                          Yeah.
23
               THE COURT: And what do you do at them?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: I work at the bookstore at
24
     my school and the gym at my school.
25
```

#### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 61 of 116 61 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  Thank you.
 2
          (Pause in proceedings.)
               THE COURT: Come on up to the mic.
 3
          What is your jury number?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25:
                                          25.
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25:
                                          I actually wasn't even
     sure this was the appropriate time to do it, but I was -- I
 8
     submitted a request for excusal, and I was denied and then I
 9
     submitted a request for postponement. I thought that would
10
11
     make more sense. But it was a little bit too close to trial,
     so I was just trying to do the right thing, show up today.
12
13
          My wife is the primary income earner. I spend most of my
     time with my two-year-old son during the week. So really being
14
15
     here for a week is asking her to take a week off of work.
16
     just wanted to request a hardship for the week, maybe even just
17
     postponement at a minimum.
               THE COURT: Do you have any -- do you have any family
18
     in the area or friends who would be able to help out in the
19
20
     short term?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25: Scrambling this last
21
     minute for a week, no. No, unfortunately.
22
23
                                  Thank you.
               THE COURT: Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25: Thank you.
24
25
          (Pause in proceedings.)
```

#### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 62 of 116 62 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Hello. Remind me what juror number you
 2
     are.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13:
                                          13.
 3
               THE COURT: Which number?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13:
                                         No. 13.
               THE COURT: No. 13.
                                    Okay.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Yeah. I've been going
     through some health issues this -- this year.
 8
                                                    I've been
     diagnosed with diverticulitis two -- after about two days.
 9
     during July my gall bladder got removed, and right now I am
10
11
     facing sleep apnea. I sleep less at night and during daytime I
     make up. After lunch, I sleep for two hours. So that's what
12
13
     I'm wondering with my health.
14
               THE COURT: Right. And so with the sleep apnea -- I
15
     didn't hear everything that's going on because my hearing, but
16
     with the sleeping, this trial day is 8:30 to 1:30.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: 8:30 to 1:30, so it would
    be okay, I think. I usually sleep after lunch.
18
               THE COURT: After lunch. So that would be okay then?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Yes.
20
21
               THE COURT: Okay. And are you -- I mean, are you
     alert now? You seem like you're following everything that's
22
23
     going on.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Yeah.
24
25
               THE COURT: Yeah, okay.
```

#### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 63 of 116 63 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
All right. And -- okay. Thank you.
 1
                                                I appreciate it.
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Thank you.
          (Pause in proceedings.)
 3
               THE COURT: Good morning.
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Hi. I'm Juror No. 9.
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I have a medical issue
 7
     where I have a doctor's note from a fall at work. So I need to
 8
     sit and stand as needed. Your hours seem like I could make it
 9
     work, but I do have a brace on so --
10
11
               THE COURT: Well, so the -- you are welcome to
12
     stand -- in this court you can stand at any time you want.
13
     take breaks every hour and a half so you can walk around and do
14
     that. Do you think you would be able to --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: As long as there's
16
     flexibility, for sure, yeah. I was just wondering.
17
    hoping that that was an option. So if that's in place,
     that's -- that's fine.
18
19
               THE COURT: Yeah.
                                  Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I just need to do it when I
20
    need to do it if that's not going to, you know, do anything to
21
     the --
22
23
                                      That's perfectly fine.
               THE COURT:
                          Yeah, no.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9:
25
               THE COURT:
                                   Okay. Thank you.
                           Great.
```

```
(Pause in proceedings.)
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Remind me your jury number again.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         No. 7.
 3
 4
               THE COURT:
                           No. 7, yes.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: So, you know, when you
     asked if there was something that would make it difficult for
 6
 7
     me to serve, it's just -- just the fact I have two young
     children that go to school -- to a school that is outside of
 8
     our district. And, you know, my wife has a full-time job, and
 9
     we kind of work it out so where she has to take time -- time
10
11
     away from work to go pick him from home and bring him to school
     and I come in early enough to go and pick him up after school.
12
          That will -- that's what would make it difficult, but --
13
14
     and I also wanted to mention that three weeks ago I served jury
15
     duty in Sonoma County, and I would have excused myself except I
16
     kind of missed -- missed that so I just wanted to mention that.
               THE COURT: So you just served on a jury three weeks
17
18
     ago?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         Yes, in Sonoma County.
20
               THE COURT: Congratulations. Not everybody gets
     called twice in a month.
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: I got called at the same
22
23
     time; but when I look at the -- it says if you have served in
     the past 12 months, that was before I actually went and did
24
25
     that, so I just kind of like, you know, kind of forgot --
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 65 of 116 65 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
forgot about it. I was like, "Huh, I could have just done
 1
 2
     that, " you know, but I missed that so...
               THE COURT: Well, I think you get an automatic
 3
     excusal for the service that you've already did.
                                                       And next time
 4
 5
     if they both come at the same time, federal court is so much
 6
     more important than state court.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         Right.
               THE COURT: But if you served three weeks ago, I will
 8
 9
     excuse you now.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
10
                                         Okay.
11
               THE COURT:
                           So thank you very much for your service
12
     in Sonoma --
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         Well, thank you.
14
               THE COURT: -- and here.
                                         I appreciate it.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
15
                                         Thank you. So I can go?
16
               THE COURT: You can go.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7:
                                         Okay.
                                                Thank you.
          (Pause in proceedings.)
18
               THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Alexander, it's going to
19
     come to you for voir dire when we come back.
20
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
21
          I wanted to raise one issue.
22
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to the individuals that
24
25
     indicated that they have stock interests, there is actual
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 66 of 116 66 PROCEEDINGS

```
1
     authority that says that that stock interest alone makes them
 2
     biased, and I think that would apply as to 8 and 15.
               MR. ORGAN:
                           20.
 3
               MR. ALEXANDER: 8 and 20.
 4
                                          I'm sorry.
 5
          And the case that addresses that is, I believe, Getter --
 6
     G-E-T-T-E-R -- vs. Wal-Mart Stores, 66 F.3d 1119 at 1120.
 7
     a Sixth Circuit case from 1995. So I believe I want to bring
     it to your attention now as you're considering the hardships
 8
     because I think that those individuals would be off the
 9
10
     panel --
11
               THE COURT:
                           They may be.
               MR. ALEXANDER: -- for cause.
12
13
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, so I'd like -- I'll be
     interested in hearing from Ms. Kennedy, and I'll take a look at
14
15
     that also once we're done with the voir dire.
16
          So what I'm -- what I'm planning to do is letting you go
17
     take care of whatever you need to take care of, and then turn
     it over to you, Mr. Alexander, for about 15 minutes and then to
18
     Ms. Kennedy for about 15 minutes, and then we'll take another
19
20
     break and discuss cause and hardship and move on.
21
     doing fine.
          All right. Thank you. So we'll be back at -- come back
22
23
     at 11:30 or as quickly thereafter as possible.
24
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
           from 11:22 a.m. until 11:36 a.m.)
25
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           All right. So now the lawyers have the
     opportunity to ask some questions as well.
 2
          Mr. Alexander, will you be taking the lead?
 3
               MR. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
 5
          Good morning. I'm just going to have a few questions for
     all of you. If anyone can't hear me in the back, I'll speak
 6
 7
     louder without yelling.
          First, by a show of hands, is there anyone that does not
 8
     believe that emotional distress exists? Is there a show of
 9
     hands?
10
11
          (No response.)
12
               MR. ALEXANDER: I don't see any hands.
13
          Is there anyone that feels that you cannot suffer
     emotional distress as a result of things that occur inside your
14
15
     workplace? Is there anyone here that feels that?
16
          (No response.)
17
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                              No show of hands.
                                                  I see no one.
          Is there anyone here who would not be willing to award
18
19
     damages for emotional distress regardless of what we say in
     terms of meeting our burden of proof? Is there anyone here who
20
21
     would not be willing to award emotional distress damages?
22
          (No response.)
23
               MR. ALEXANDER: I don't see any hands.
                                                        Thank you.
24
               MR. ORGAN:
                           There was --
25
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                               I'm sorry?
```

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 68 of 116 68 **PROCEEDINGS**

1	So I see your hand. I don't remember your number. If you
2	could come forward.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: 24.
4	MR. ALEXANDER: No. 24.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I think I can award
6	emotional distress, but I'd have to think very hard about the
7	amount of judgment. As I said earlier, I've got a belief in
8	the way the court system works, the way the judgments work; and
9	so I could do it, but it's going to take a lot in me to come
10	out with an amount.
11	MR. ALEXANDER: And I had forgotten. And so if
12	you if you were king of the world for a day, what you would
13	do is adjust emotional distress
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Say that again, please.
15	MR. ALEXANDER: If you were king of the world for a
16	day, what you would do is make some adjustments so that
17	emotional distress damages could not be awarded?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I'd have to look at it
19	very closely. I would look at the facts very closely, but
20	outsized judgments, that doesn't really work for me.
21	MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you very much.
22	Are there any fans of Elon Musk? There are some people
23	that had opinions, but are there any fans of Elon Musk?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. ALEXANDER: How about companies that are run by

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 69 of 116 69 PROCEEDINGS

```
or owned by Elon Musk?
 1
 2
          (No response.)
               MR. ALEXANDER: Does anyone work at a company that
 3
     does business with an Elon Musk company?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: In the past.
               MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you very much. I remember your
 6
 7
     response. You're number?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18:
 8
 9
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                              No. 18. Thank you very much.
          Are there any -- is there anyone that works at a company
10
     that uses subcontractors to do work?
11
          Sorry. I see a hand from No. 1 and -- my goodness, No. 1,
12
13
     20, 18, 24, and 23.
               THE COURT: And 26.
14
15
               MS. NUNLEY: 26 as well.
16
               MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to those individuals
17
     whose hands were raised, can you tell me, can you keep -- if
     you could raise your hands now and put your hand down if you do
18
     not give direction to those individuals? In other words, if
19
     you -- if you give direction to those individuals, leave your
20
21
     hands up.
          Juror No. 1?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: May I ask a clarifying
24
     question?
               MR. ALEXANDER:
25
                               Sure.
```

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: So not currently, but if we
 2
     were to hire one, it may be someone that's placed -- like, I
     might have.
 3
               MR. ALEXANDER: So when your company hires
 4
 5
     individuals as independent contractors?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1:
 6
 7
               MR. ALEXANDER: And what type of direction do you
     give?
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: So I'm an occupational
     therapist, and oftentimes we have shortages with OT or speech
10
11
     therapy or psychologists; and if it's an OT need, it might be
     someone that if it's an assistant, they might work under my
12
13
     license.
               But that's not currently happening.
14
               MR. ALEXANDER: All right. Thank you very much.
15
          There are some of you that raised your hand that we have
16
     heard from, and so I -- I may not ask you questions and please
17
     don't be offended by that.
          No. 24 -- not 24. No. 23, did you -- I believe you raised
18
     your hand?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23:
20
               MR. ALEXANDER:
21
                               I lost track. The people that raised
     their hand with regard to individual contractor, would you
22
23
     raise your hand again?
24
          No. 20.
                   Thank you very much.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Good morning.
```

1	MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to your company, you use
2	independent contractors?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Used to use independent
4	contractor, but I use a lot of the contractor through the
5	staffing companies.
6	MR. ALEXANDER: Through staffing companies?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Yes.
8	MR. ALEXANDER: And how often does that occur?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: How often?
10	MR. ALEXANDER: Yes.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: It's about half of my team
12	are full-time employee. The other half are, like, contractors
13	through staffing company.
14	MR. ALEXANDER: And so with regard to the people that
15	are contract employees working for the staffing company, are
16	they subject to the same rules as the people that work directly
17	for you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Can you repeat the last
19	part of your question?
20	MR. ORGAN: The people that work at the staffing
21	company, are they subject to the same rules as the people that
22	work directly for you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: In general, yes.
24	MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you very much.
25	Is there anyone whose company uses staffing employees that

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 72 of 116 72 **PROCEEDINGS**

1	are subject to different rules than the rules that apply to
2	your direct employees?
3	Thank you. If you could come forward.
4	Good morning. Could you remind me of your number.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: 23.
6	MR. ALEXANDER: And so your company uses staffing
7	employees?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: They do. And for the
9	contractors and subcontractors outside of U.S., they have
10	different guidelines and policies for them.
11	MR. ALEXANDER: And do you supply the policies for
12	those people that are from the staffing companies?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Sorry? Say that again?
14	MR. ALEXANDER: You said that there are different
15	policies that apply to the contract employees through the
16	staffing companies. Do you supply those staffing employees
17	with the rules?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Yes.
19	MR. ALEXANDER: All right. So you don't rely on the
20	staffing company to do that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: I think it's it's done
22	by both.
23	MR. ALEXANDER: And with regard to staffing contract
24	employees through the staffing companies, if there's any issue
25	with regard to those staffing employees, do you handle it

1	directly or leave it to the staffing company to handle?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: I haven't run into that
3	situation so I'm not aware of what they do.
4	MR. ALEXANDER: All right. Thank you very much.
5	Let me I'd like to talk to some people that I have not
6	heard from.
7	Juror No. 6, if you could if I could speak with you for
8	a couple minutes.
9	How are you doing this morning?
10	Question for you. With regard to our judicial system as
11	opposed to the judicial system from Myanmar is that where
12	you were from originally?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
14	MR. ALEXANDER: How do you feel about our jury system
15	in terms of jurors coming in, making a decision about the facts
16	in the case, and then awarding damages under circumstances
17	where someone has met their burden of proof?
	<del>-</del>
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.
18	<del>-</del>
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.  MR. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?
19 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.  MR. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Very fair.
19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.  MR. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Very fair.  I don't understand your question.
19 20 21 22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I think it's a fair system.  MR. ALEXANDER: I'm sorry?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Very fair.  I don't understand your question.  THE COURT: "Very fair" is what he said. A very fair

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 74 of 116 74 PROCEEDINGS

And in terms of -- in terms of emotional distress, do you 1 2 feel that emotional distress is something that you would be willing to award damages for? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: 4 5 MR. ALEXANDER: Yes? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes. 6 7 I'm going to ask you a question about MR. ALEXANDER: punitive damages so I can ask a question generally to other 8 9 people. If a company were to make a conscious decision to break 10 11 the law or to disregard the law or to lie about breaking the law, would you be willing to award punitive damages, damages to 12 13 punish, under those circumstances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes, I would. 14 15 MR. ALEXANDER: Yes, you would? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes. 17 MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you very much. A question to everyone. Same question. Is there anyone 18 19 here who under circumstances where we met our burden of proof an employer had made a decision to break the law or disregard 20 21 the law or didn't -- didn't follow the law as they should, is there anyone here who, for whatever reason, would not be 22 23 willing to award punitive damages? If you could come up, I'd appreciate that. That is Juror 24 25 No. 5?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes. MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to punitive damages, do you have any -- you said you had a follow-up question. ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yeah. I would -- I would award punitive damage, but where would that money go is the question? MR. ALEXANDER: Well, the judge will give you an instruction with regard to how punitive damages work. And my issue was really to find out, do you have anything personally that would cause you to feel that you could not award punitive damages even if the judge were to give you an instruction saying that we're entitled to under the right circumstances. **PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5**: I understand the question My question is, like, two things here. very well. There are two -- two parties here. One party is suffered and we award damages for that party. Then the other party we need to award a punitive damage, and I want to -- I do want to award the punitive damage or -- but where does that -- what is that -what are those damages and how will it be used? Because this person has been compensated. This party has been compensated. This needs to be punished and how do we punish it? And where -- if it's going to be a financial one,

1	MR. ALEXANDER: If you were picked on the jury, we
2	will give that information as part of the process. Thank you.
3	Is there anyone who believes that the workplace or society
4	in general has become politically correct; meaning there are
5	just things you can't say for being politically correct?
6	Anyone feel that?
7	Thank you very much. I've heard from you.
8	Thank you very much. I've heard from you.
9	Juror No. 19, could I talk to you for a moment, please?
10	How are you doing this morning?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Good. How are you?
12	MR. ALEXANDER: Is there anything about the
13	litigation that you've been involved in, being in deposition or
14	what-have-you, that would cause you to have a bad feeling or
15	not want to participate in this litigation as a juror?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: No. It was completely
17	unrelated. Basic depositions we have to do frequently.
18	MR. ALEXANDER: Is there anything about awarding
19	damages for emotional distress that would cause you not to want
20	to be a juror in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: No.
22	MR. ALEXANDER: Anything about awarding punitive
23	damages that would cause you not to want to be a juror in this
24	case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: No.

1	MR. ALEXANDER: Okay. Inside of your workplace, have
2	you ever seen or experienced discrimination occurring?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: No.
4	MR. ALEXANDER: Given that you haven't seen or
5	experienced it, do you believe that discrimination still occurs
6	inside the workplace?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: I'm sure it does.
8	MR. ALEXANDER: You're sure it does. Thank you.
9	Thank you very much.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Okay.
11	MR. ALEXANDER: There are a number of people that
12	have said they did have experienced discrimination; but for
13	those of you who have not either experienced it or seen it, is
14	there anyone here that does not believe that discrimination
15	still occurs inside the workplace? If I could see a show of
16	hands.
17	(No response.)
18	MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you.
19	Juror No. 4, if I could speak with you for a moment.
20	If I recall correctly, and I you have been an office
21	manager?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
23	MR. ALEXANDER: And have you often had the experience
24	of hiring and firing individuals?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.

1	MR. ALEXANDER: And do you have any responsibility
2	with regard to addressing human resource matters?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.
4	MR. ALEXANDER: Have you ever conducted a workplace
5	investigation?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.
7	MR. ALEXANDER: Inside of your employer, is there
8	someone that has that human resource responsibility?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
10	MR. ALEXANDER: And have you ever had experiences
11	with your human resource department?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.
13	MR. ALEXANDER: Any experiences at work where you
14	were dissatisfied with the way you were treated at work by
15	management?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Umm, yeah, I guess in the
17	form of favoritism.
18	MR. ALEXANDER: Favoritism. Against you? Favoritism
19	of others?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Both.
21	MR. ALEXANDER: And on that occasion, did you take
22	any action? Did you do anything?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.
24	MR. ALEXANDER: Are there
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Just talking to other

1	managers about it, but that's it.
2	MR. ALEXANDER: So you've never had occasion to have
3	interaction with human resources to address a problem that
4	you've had?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.
6	MR. ALEXANDER: In your role as a manager, have you
7	had any individuals that have spoken to you, come to you to
8	seek you out to for assistance?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No. I'm not a people
10	manager and wasn't a people manager, so different type of
11	manager.
12	MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to emotional distress, is
13	that something that you'd feel comfortable awarding?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Uh-huh.
15	MR. ALEXANDER: Yes?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
17	MR. ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you very much.
18	(Discussion held off the record between plaintiffs'
19	counsel.)
20	THE COURT: I'm just making sure. You're just about
21	to finish; is that right?
22	MR. ALEXANDER: I'm just about to finish.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
24	MR. ALEXANDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	Juror No. 13, good morning.

Τ	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Good morning.
2	MR. ALEXANDER: Just to be sure, do you have with
3	regard to emotional distress, is that something you feel that
4	you could award damages for if we were to meet our burden of
5	proof?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Can you repeat?
7	MR. ALEXANDER: Let me ask the question simpler.
8	Do you believe that emotional distress is real?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 13: Yes.
10	MR. ALEXANDER: And could you award damages for it if
11	we meet our burden of proof?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Yes.
13	MR. ALEXANDER: Okay. And do you have any feelings
14	about punitive damages? Is that something that you could award
15	if we met our burden of proof that the defendant in this case
16	had did things purposely against the law?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Yes.
18	MR. ALEXANDER: Okay. Is there anything about this
19	case that would cause you to believe that you could not be fair
20	and impartial to both sides?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. ALEXANDER: Is there anything about this case
23	that would cause you to think that you might favor one side
24	over the other?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: No.

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 81 of 116 81 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                               All right.
                                           Thank you very much.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13:
 2
                                          Yeah.
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. ALEXANDER:
 3
                           Thank you, Mr. Alexander.
 4
               THE COURT:
 5
          Ms. Kennedy?
               MS. KENNEDY:
                            Good morning still. I have a few
 6
 7
     follow-up questions. I'm going to ask a few general questions.
     If could you just raise your hand, and I will follow up.
 8
          One of the first questions I want to ask since we are here
 9
     and I'm representing the defendant, does anyone have a problem
10
11
     with the fact that the defendant Tesla has to go last; in other
     words, we have to present our evidence second? Does anyone
12
13
     have a problem with that concept? In other words, the
     plaintiff gets to go first, present his case, and then Tesla
14
15
     has to go last. Does anyone have a problem with that.
16
          (No response.)
17
               MS. KENNEDY: Is anyone here knowing that sort of how
     this works, are they unable to sort of wait until all the
18
19
     evidence is submitted to make a decision?
20
          (No response.)
               MS. KENNEDY: Given what you've heard so far from
21
     Judge Orrick and just the questions from other jurors, how many
22
23
     people of you have made up your mind as to what happened
24
     already?
25
          (No response.)
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 82 of 116 82 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               MS. KENNEDY: Does anyone here think they know what
 2
     the facts are?
          (No response.)
 3
               MS. KENNEDY: Let me ask Juror No. -- No. 30,
 4
 5
     Mr. Naylor.
 6
          In the questionnaire -- I believe you answered a question
 7
     in response to Judge Orrick's questioning that you felt that
     you had an opportunity to make a right wrong. Do you -- is --
 8
     my question to you is: Do you feel that's your role as a
 9
     juror?
10
                                          I believe the judge
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
     clarified it when he said that there is -- have been no right
12
13
     or wrong established right now, and I'm comfortable with that.
               MS. KENNEDY: I'm sorry. I did not understand you.
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
                                          I believe there hasn't
15
16
     been a right or wrong established right now, and so I'm
17
     comfortable with that.
               MS. KENNEDY: I understand that, but my question was
18
     a little different. Do you feel like your role as a juror is
19
20
     to right a wrong?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: That's part of the justice
21
22
     system.
23
               MS. KENNEDY:
                            I'm sorry?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: That's part of the justice
24
25
              Not my decision to make.
     system.
```

```
Okay. As a juror, do you feel that you
 1
               MS. KENNEDY:
     can wait until all the evidence is in before you make a
 2
     decision?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
 4
 5
               MS. KENNEDY: And in this particular case, if you
     were sitting on this side of the table representing Tesla, do
 6
 7
     you think you are the right -- you are a juror that Tesla would
    pick?
 8
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I would hope so.
               MS. KENNEDY: And why is that?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
                                         Because I try -- I plan to
    be pretty impartial and fair-sided.
12
13
               MS. KENNEDY: Do you think you can be a fair and
     impartial juror despite everything that you've heard?
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30:
                                          Yes.
16
               MS. KENNEDY: All right. Thank you, Mr. Naylor.
17
          In this particular matter you're going to be hearing some
     testimony about events that happened in a workplace at the
18
     Tesla facility in Fremont. My first question is: Has anyone
19
     actually been out to that Tesla Fremont facility for any
20
21
     reason?
          Yes, Juror No. is it 23? Yes. And I know you have some
22
23
     family that used to work there. Is that what it's in relation
24
     to?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: (Nods head.)
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 84 of 116 84 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
               MS. KENNEDY: Any other reason that you've been out
 2
     to that facility?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: (Shakes head.)
 3
               MS. KENNEDY: Yes, Juror No. 30 -- oh, sorry.
 4
 5
    No. 33?
              Yes?
               THE OFFICIAL REPORTER: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
                                                                Ι
 6
     can't hear him.
 7
               THE COURT: You need to come to the mic.
 8
                                                         I'm sorry.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Yes.
                                                I've been there just
 9
     because I got hired by them before. So -- but I didn't take
10
11
     the job.
               So I've been to the facility, that's it.
12
               MS. KENNEDY: I'm sorry.
                                         I --
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I said I've been hired by
14
     them before, but I wound up not taking the job, but I've been
15
     to the facility before.
16
               MS. KENNEDY: Were you actually hired by Tesla or
     were you hired by a staffing agency and placed there?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: It was Tesla.
18
19
               MS. KENNEDY: Do you recall what staffing agency that
20
     was?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 33: I don't.
21
22
               MS. KENNEDY: Do you recall when that was?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: It was about two years
23
     ago, three years ago.
24
25
               MS. KENNEDY: And do you recall where you actually
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 85 of 116 85 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
worked in the facility?
 1
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: No.
              MS. KENNEDY: No. Do you remember what you did at
 3
     the facility?
 4
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I'm sorry?
               MS. KENNEDY: What did you do there?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I didn't actually work
     there. I was hired.
 8
               MS. KENNEDY: Oh.
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I never took the job, but
10
11
     I -- that's me going to the facility or whatever. I was just
12
     answering that.
13
              MS. KENNEDY: Okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood.
14
         Did you -- were you actually offered a position there?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Yes, ma'am.
15
16
               MS. KENNEDY: And what position were you offered?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Shoot. I forgot what the
    position was. I know it was just a nighttime position. I
18
     don't know. I don't remember. I don't recall.
19
               MS. KENNEDY: And any reasons why you decided not to
20
     go work at the facility?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Just at the time I didn't
22
23
     think it was the right move to do -- I mean, to make. Just I
    had -- I had other options opening as well.
24
25
               MS. KENNEDY: Okay. All right. Thank you very much,
```

sir. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: 2 MS. KENNEDY: You've heard -- we've had some 3 questions asked of the panel about Elon Musk. If you are 4 5 picked for this jury, one of the instructions that you may get is you can't go on the internet and do other things. 6 7 think if you are picked for this jury and you see something in the news about Elon Musk, do you think that would be something 8 you could put out of -- out of your mind? And can you agree 9 not to read any articles or Tweets or anything else that 10 11 Mr. Musk may put out at any point in time? Does anyone have a problem with that? 12 13 (No response.) 14 MS. KENNEDY: Any concern about that? 15 (No response.) 16 MS. KENNEDY: Also, in this case, in a civil case, 17 the only way to sort of compensate someone for a wrong is with 18 money damages. So my question here is: If, in fact, Mr. Diaz 19 does not prove his case, although you may think something may 20 have happened or you may feel sorry for him or you may hear 21 some evidence that makes you feel uncomfortable and he doesn't meet his burden of proof, is there anyone here who says: 22 23 I still think I should award damages because I feel know what? 24 sorry for Mr. Diaz? Does anyone feel that way? 25 (No response.)

```
1
               MS. KENNEDY:
                            Does anyone here on the venire if you
     were an attorney for Tesla would think: You know what? I'm
 2
     probably not the right juror for this case for Tesla.
 3
     think right now I just -- there is nothing Tesla can say that
 4
 5
     would allow me to find in favor of Tesla?
          (No response.)
 6
 7
               MS. KENNEDY: So everyone here can listen to all the
     evidence, wait until the end, and make a decision?
 8
 9
          (No response.)
               MS. KENNEDY: Let me ask a couple individual
10
11
     questions then. Ms. -- I'm sorry, Juror No. 12.
                                                       Thank you.
          And you said -- I believe you said that your cousin was
12
13
     fired from HR at Tesla. Do you know if your cousin was a Tesla
14
     employee or a contractor?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: A Tesla employee.
16
               MS. KENNEDY: Okay. And do you recall how long ago
17
     that was?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Three or four years ago.
18
19
               MS. KENNEDY: So it would be sometime in 2018,
     2019-ish?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
21
                                                Yeah.
                             Okay. And how long did your cousin
22
               MS. KENNEDY:
     work there at the time that he or she was let go?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: She worked there for two
24
25
     years I think. It wasn't a super long time.
```

1	MS. KENNEDY: And do you know, did she work at the
2	Fremont location?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
4	MS. KENNEDY: And did you ever have any conversations
5	with your cousin about any type of base chart issue or
6	personnel issue or anything at the Tesla facility?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Not anything besides,
8	like, just annoying employees. Not, like yeah, it's just,
9	you know, she's just telling me about her day or whatever.
10	MS. KENNEDY: To your knowledge, do you know if your
11	cousin had any dealings with any of the contract companies, the
12	staffing companies, that worked at or provided services to
13	Tesla?
I	_
14	<b>PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12</b> : Not that I know of.
14 15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Not that I know of.  MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.
15	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.
15 16	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.
15 16 17	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?
15 16 17 18	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.  MS. KENNEDY: A couple questions. I wanted to follow
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.  MS. KENNEDY: A couple questions. I wanted to follow up with a couple questions that Judge Orrick asked you about.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.  MS. KENNEDY: A couple questions. I wanted to follow up with a couple questions that Judge Orrick asked you about.  You mentioned that sometimes you help employees when they
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.  Juror No. 18.  Yes. Thank you. I think Ms. Ellis?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.  MS. KENNEDY: A couple questions. I wanted to follow up with a couple questions that Judge Orrick asked you about.  You mentioned that sometimes you help employees when they make complaints at work. Are you, like, an ombudsman or an

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 89 of 116 89 **PROCEEDINGS**

1	my advice was to take your concern to HR, and because
2	sometimes people are hesitant to do so.
3	MS. KENNEDY: Understood.
4	And was it was it your sort of training that you got
5	that if there's an employee complaint, it needs to go to HR,
6	handled by HR?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 18: I mean, it depends on what
8	kind of thing it is.
9	MS. KENNEDY: Understood.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: You know, but but,
11	yeah. If somebody's you guys were asking about it in
12	relation to discrimination I believe was that questioning. So
13	I would absolutely send them to discuss their situation.
14	MS. KENNEDY: In your employment experience, did you
15	ever get any type of anti-harassment, anti-discrimination
16	training?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Well, we do we do our
18	typical California harassment and all of that, you know, every
19	year, and it it absolutely is a liability to not address
20	things if they're brought to your attention.
21	MS. KENNEDY: Understood.
22	And what is your understanding as to what should be done
23	when there's a complaint of harassment or discrimination or
24	retaliation?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 18: Well, in my role, I would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommend that they go to HR and they would discuss that with HR; and typically at that point if there was a reason to do so, HR would perform an investigation. And is it your understanding that when, MS. KENNEDY: say, a complaint comes up or there's an issue that's brought to HR or management and the matter is handled with discipline or no discipline or separation, is it your understanding that that's sort of what HR or management is supposed to do to resolve any type of workplace issues? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Can you ask it again? MS. KENNEDY: Sure. That was a little bit of a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: There's a lot in there. MS. KENNEDY: That was a lawyer-like question so I apologize. Let me ask it a better way. Based on your training and just being a manager, is it your understanding that when a complaint is brought, whether to HR or to a manager, and the matter is dealt with and a decision made, either to discipline or fire or retrain or whatever, is that your understanding, based on your training and experience, that that's sort of how workplace issues should be handled and resolved? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I -- I think that it's always individual, and that that -- there may be times when things are handled differently depending on the situation. People come to applicable agreements. People part ways.

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 91 of 116 91 **PROCEEDINGS**

People get fired. People sue. I mean, there's a lot of 1 different things, and I'm -- at that point I'm not involved. 2 But, you know, I think what I was mentioning before was 3 that when I had been directly asked about "This happened to me 4 5 and it was discrimination, " my advice was to speak to HR. MS. KENNEDY: Right. And I don't want to go into 6 7 your personal issue about that, but did you, in fact, go to HR and was the matter resolved to your satisfaction or not to your 8 satisfaction? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I'm unsure about several 10 11 of them. So, you know, because, again, it's not mine to pry. MS. KENNEDY: Okay. Understood. Thank you very 12 13 much. I appreciate it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: 14 Thank you. 15 MS. KENNEDY: A couple final questions to the group. 16 In looking -- just hearing what you've heard for the past 17 couple of hours and understanding that there is a dispute because we're actually here, is anyone holding it against Tesla 18 19 or thinking that Tesla probably should have resolved this 20 That there must have -- something really should have happened, something truly did happen and Tesla is at fault? 21 22 Does anyone believe that right now or have a feeling that 23 probably something did happen because we actually ended up in a trial? 24 25 (No response.)

1	MS. KENNEDY: Let me ask Juror No. 26. Mr. Hall?
2	Based upon what you've heard so far, do you have a belief one
3	way or another that something must have happened for us to have
4	made it this far to an actual trial?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: No.
6	MS. KENNEDY: Do you have any concerns one way or
7	another as to what the do you have any idea of what the true
8	facts are?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: No. I believe that
10	everything will be revealed should I be selected.
11	MS. KENNEDY: All right. Thank you very much,
12	Mr. Hall. I appreciate that.
13	All right. Your Honor, I think I'm just about done. If I
14	could just take a look my notes real quick.
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	(Discussion held off the record amongst defense
17	counsel.)
18	MS. KENNEDY: Your Honor, I don't have any more
19	questions.
20	THE COURT: Great. Thank you very much.
21	So, ladies and gentlemen, you will be thrilled to know
22	that things have been moving along very well, and the next
23	thing that I have to do is to talk with the lawyers for a
24	little bit before we get into the final actual selection
25	process.

1 So I'm going to ask you to take another break, and this one just for ten minutes, and I'll ask you to just ten minutes 2 from now be outside the door and come back to the same place 3 and we'll -- we'll conclude the jury selection process. 4 5 Remember what I told you before about not communicating with anybody about this case in any way, shape, or form, and 6 7 we'll see you in about ten minutes. (Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective 8 9 jurors:) THE COURT: All right. Please be seated everybody. 10 11 I'm going to give you a moment to talk with each other -with your teams about the hardship and cause; but, Ms. Kennedy, 12 I wondered whether you had a response on the stock question, 13 14 whether you agreed with --15 MS. KENNEDY: Yes, I did, Your Honor. I took a look 16 at that case. 17 THE COURT: If you'd speak into the microphone, that will be a good thing. 18 Oh, sorry. I could do it here or --19 MS. KENNEDY: 20 THE COURT: Either one. MS. KENNEDY: Let me do it here. This is probably 21 better because I think I was going too fast for the court 22 23 reporter. Yes, I did look at the case, which is Getter v. Wal-Mart 24 25 Stores, Inc., 66 F.3d 1119, 1995 case. In that particular

case, Your Honor, that was a personal jury case and the issue 1 was whether or not a prospective juror who not only owned --2 advised that he owned stock, his wife was also an employee of 3 Walmart, and the issue then became whether or not the defense 4 5 had to use a -- I'm sorry -- the plaintiff had to use a 6 peremptory strike over cause. 7 And I think the issue presented by Mr. Alexander is that two jurors, I think Juror 8 and No. 20, supposedly own stock in 8 9 Tesla. First, I would say that the number of shares outstanding 10 11 in Tesla is probably a billion shares. THE COURT: Yes. So this is not a question of an 12 13 It's a question of an appearance of a conflict. MS. KENNEDY: Right, and understood. And I don't 14 15 know what their stock ownership is. I'm pretty sure that 16 whatever it is, it's probably in a mutual fund or whatever. 17 But simply having a financial interest, small that it may be given the market cap for Tesla, and the case that's cited 18 19 was more leaning toward the issue that the juror's wife was an employee of Walmart. Because if you see the cases it cited, 20 namely, the case -- I'm sorry -- the case Gladhill, which is 21 743 F.2d 1049, a 1984 case; and Francone, 145 F.2d at 732, 22 23 which was a Fifth Circuit 1944 case, those cases all talked

employed by one of the defendants.

24

25

about prospective jurors being employed or having someone

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 95 of 116 95 **PROCEEDINGS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think about here, though, in this particular case, given the case that was cited by Mr. Alexander, and the fact that probably anyone who has a mutual fund or some type of financial instrument may have a small financial interest in one of the parties, that is not automatically disqualifying. THE COURT: Mutual funds are different than direct stock ownership. MS. KENNEDY: Yes. **THE COURT:** So maybe that's something to clarify. MS. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: I mean, I know that if I knew that I owned Tesla stock, I wouldn't be sitting here. And I think there's a Fourth Circuit case that we found briefly in the break that is also consistent with that Tenth Circuit case on the topic. MS. KENNEDY: Yes. And, Your Honor, I don't dispute that, but I think the issue then becomes really what is that financial sort of interest. And I agree it's --THE COURT: If I own one share in Tesla, I couldn't be here. MS. KENNEDY: Yes, I understand, but I think that's a different standard than what a juror would be talking about in the case, and both said that they want -- they could be fair. There's going to be biases, there's going to be interests, but I think the question should be: What is that ownership?

they own one share of Tesla stock out of a billion, that's not going to be an issue, an issue of bias.

THE COURT: I understand the substantive argument that you're making and I agree with it, but the -- there is a

more specific conflict that I don't know whether it can be

Mr. Alexander, do you have --

waived or not.

MR. ALEXANDER: A couple of things, Your Honor.

First, to compare the ownership to the number of stock that

Tesla has is not the issue. It's how valuable is the stock to

that individual. If that individual has stock and that's the

primary stock that they have and they care about it going up or

down, they would have some inherent bias. It's about the value

to them not the value relative to the total of Tesla.

Also, within the case that we cited, there are cases that are cited for the proposition that a stockholder in a company which is a party to a lawsuit is incompetent to sit as a juror is so well settled as to be black letter law that. That's quoting Chestnut -- C-H-E-S-T-N-U-T -- vs. Ford Motor Company, 445 F.2d 967 at 971. That's the Fourth Circuit, a 1971 case.

And there's also *Vasey* -- V-A-S-E-Y -- 29 F.3d at 1460; and *Gladhill* -- G-L-A-D-H-I-L-L -- 743 F.2d at 1050.

Also referring to Code of Civil Procedure 229(b), it refers to implied bias. It refers to relationships of stock and ownership.

I think that to -- under the circumstances, if they have an ownership in a company under circumstances where we're seeking punitive damages, that just is automatic circumstances where those individuals should not be sitting and basically being asked to award punitive damages against a company that they own stock in. I think it's just inherent bias.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kennedy.

MS. KENNEDY: Your Honor, just -- just so we're clear, I think that the issue, the special issue, is whether or not the -- the juror has a -- has a financial interest in the outcome of what could possibly happen here, not just -- not just sort passive stock ownership.

And the case that is referred to in the Getter vs.

Wal-Mart case is referred to as the Vasey vs. Martin Marietta

Corporation, 29 F.3d 1460 at 1468, Tenth Circuit 1994. And

basically the standard is a direct financial interest in the

trial's outcome.

So it -- just because in this day and age in 2021 somebody owned some miniscule amount of stock -- which, again, I don't know what their stock ownership is, I would assume it's not something that is significant -- that it's not implied that there is going to be automatic bias because I think the court has held that the implied bias doctrine is not to be likely invoked, but must be reserved for those extreme and exceptional circumstances that leave serious question whether the trial

court subjected a party to manifestly unjust procedures resulting in a miscarriage of justice. And this is referring to the case Zia Shadows LLC vs. City of Las Crucas.

So I think that the standard here is a higher standard

than simply having ownership, some passive ownership, in some publicly traded stock. It's got to be a higher standard.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Organ.

MR. ORGAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

I'd just -- I would just like to bring to the Court's attention, not necessarily under these statutes or the authority, but I have been part of a case where punitive damages were awarded and it was overturned on appeal because of juror misconduct because one of the jurors, it turned out, owned stock in Kroger Company, which was the parent of Ralph's, which was my -- my case against.

And when our expert came in to give the valuation of the company, in the juror deliberations, that juror said: I know the stock is worth less than that because I own the stock. And that's the problem, is that the person will have individualized knowledge that can infect the jury and then we're going to be back here. So --

THE COURT: I am fairly confident -- I think -- the issue I think is going to be more black letter than your -- some of you are suggesting.

I will take one quick look at this again at a break when

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 99 of 116 99 PROCEEDINGS

```
we finish our discussions here, but I have a hunch I'm going to
 1
     need to strike them. I will confirm, however, that they own
 2
     stock in Tesla as opposed to a mutual fund that might have some
 3
     Tesla stock in it, but I think that's going to be the
 4
 5
     distinction.
          "Passive ownership" isn't a meaningful term. It's either
 6
 7
     you've got -- either you have a direct conflict or you don't,
     and I -- I understand sort of the pragmatism of the argument,
 8
     but I don't think it actually works for Tesla, but I will
 9
     take -- I will take a quick look at that.
10
11
          All right. So why don't you discuss amongst -- tell me --
     raise your hand when you're ready to talk cause and hardship.
12
13
          (Pause in proceedings.)
               THE COURT: All right. So the counsel have had a
14
15
     chance to look at this.
16
          I am inclined to relieve for hardship Jurors 11 and 31 and
17
     Jurors 24 and 30 for cause, and then inquire of Jurors 8 and 20
     of what the -- what their stockholding is; and if it's a direct
18
     holding, I think because of the case cited by Mr. Alexander and
19
     the Fourth Circuit case and what seems to be fairly clear law,
20
     I would remove 8 and 20.
21
               MS. KENNEDY: I'm so sorry, Your Honor. Who were the
22
23
     two for hardship?
               THE COURT: No. 11, the student; and No. 31.
24
25
               MS. KENNEDY:
                             31.
                                  Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  So, Mr. Alexander, I'll go to you
 2
            Do you have any comments on that or anything else you
     first.
     would like me to look at?
 3
               MR. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, not at this time.
 4
 5
     we move further to other cause, I can, but at this time based
 6
     on the Court's inquiry --
 7
               THE OFFICIAL REPORTER:
                                       I'm sorry, Mr. Alexander.
     Can you use the microphone, please?
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Get to a mic, and -- but I want to hear
     if you have -- if you think that there are other people who
10
11
     should be relieved as a result of cause, I'd like to hear to
12
     now.
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
13
               MR. ALEXANDER:
          With regard to No. 24, Mr. Ruchamkin -- I'm sorry. I
14
15
     missed that. You removed him for cause.
16
               THE COURT: Yeah.
17
               MR. ALEXANDER: Great.
          And then No. 31 --
18
                           The judge already removed him.
19
               MR. ORGAN:
                              I guess that's it. All right.
20
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                                                                I'm
21
     fine.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kennedy.
23
               MS. KENNEDY: No, we have no other cause.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. So we're in complete agreement,
25
     and so we're ready -- we're going to be ready to do peremptory
```

```
challenges when the jury comes back after I check on 8 and 20.
 1
          And the way that that happens is you'll pass a piece of
 2
     paper back and forth; and if you both pass, you're done.
                                                                Ιf
 3
     you -- if one of you passes, you don't get to save that
 4
 5
     challenge for the end. So just be aware.
          All right. Ms. Davis, let's get the jury back in.
 6
 7
          (Proceedings were heard in presence of prospective
           jurors:)
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right. You can all be seated.
                                                               Thank
10
     you.
11
          Juror No. 8, I have a question for you that I should have
     asked you earlier. Would you mind stepping up so we can do
12
13
     that?
          You had indicated that you owned stock in Tesla.
14
15
     that -- do you own it specifically in Tesla? Is it part of a
16
     mutual fund or some combination of funds?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: My -- my husband does the
     investing, and he told me that he just bought shares.
18
               THE COURT: He just bought shares. And is that --
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes. Tesla shares.
20
21
               THE COURT: Okay. And so -- and do you hold that
     jointly?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8:
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
                                  Thank you.
25
          And, Juror No. 20, you also -- come on up to the mic.
```

1 I think you indicated that you owned shares in Tesla. Do you own those directly or as part of a mutual fund? Or how is 2 it held? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Stock. 4 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Stock. Not part of a 6 7 mutual fund. My wife -- under my wife's name. She bought stock of -- Tesla stock. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you both -- so that's family finance, family stock? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: Yes. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 All right. So for that reason, I'll ask you to stay where you are for a second, but because of that stockholding, the law 14 15 is clear that you can't sit on a case. Even though you would 16 otherwise be completely impartial and it wouldn't make a 17 difference to you, it's just the way that the law works. So I'm going to end up excusing you, and I'm also going to 18 excuse Juror No. 11, Juror No. 30, and Juror No. 31. 19 are all -- and Juror No. 24. 20 21 So the six of you may now leave the courtroom and thank you very much for your service. You have done what you needed 22 23 to do for the country today. (Prospective Jurors No. 11, 24, 30, and 31 excused.) 24 25 THE COURT: All right. So, ladies and gentlemen, the

```
next thing that happens here is that each side gets to excuse
 1
     some people from the panel. This is called peremptory
 2
     challenges. They can be made without giving any reason at all.
 3
     This procedure gives the parties the ability to participate
 4
 5
     more fully in the selection of the jury who's going to decide
 6
     the case. It's part of our system of justice. It let's the
     parties feel more comfortable with the jury and, therefore, be
 7
     more satisfied with the result since they had the opportunity
 8
 9
     to select you.
          So if you end up having to be excused, it's nothing
10
11
     personal.
                Don't let it -- don't consider it as a reflection on
     you or the quality of your service. You will have done your
12
13
     job for the country.
          The way that this is going to happen is that the parties
14
15
     are going to quietly pass a piece of paper back and forth and
16
     then give it to me. I'm going to look at it, and then we'll
17
     proceed from there.
          So, Ms. Davis, if you would give it to Mr. Alexander.
18
          (Brief pause.)
19
               THE COURT: And if you feel more comfortable standing
20
21
     rather than sitting, please feel free to do that.
          (Pause in proceedings.)
22
23
               MR. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, can we have a sidebar to
     address this?
24
25
               THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask
```

```
you to step outside, get a little more exercise. Please don't
 1
 2
    go away.
          (Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective
 3
           jurors:)
 4
 5
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Mr. Alexander.
               MR. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, the defendant has struck
 6
     Jurors No. 26 and 33, both of whom are African American, and so
 7
     the -- it raises a Batson-Wheeler issue as to whether there is
 8
 9
     a basis for articulating a reason other than race to disqualify
     those individuals.
10
          In each of the cases, I believe that the information they
11
     gave indicates that they could be fair and impartial; and so
12
13
     under those circumstances, I think the onus is on the defendant
     to indicate a nondiscriminatory reason for the selections that
14
15
     were made.
16
               THE COURT: All right. So your challenge is both to
17
     26 and 33?
               MR. ALEXANDER: Yes, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Ms. Kennedy.
                                   May I be heard?
20
               MS. KENNEDY: Yes.
21
          We'll talk about Juror No. 33 first because I questioned
         And if you recall, Mr. Bradford's testimony was that he
22
    had heard the "N" word a lot. His mother was in
23
     South Carolina, had been mistreated. He has a friend working
24
25
     at Tesla, and she was paid less and -- I'm trying to read my
```

writing here. 1 Oh, and the friend was wrongfully terminated at Tesla. 2 That was Mr. Bradford, No. 33. 3 And as to Juror No. 26, my initial reaction with Mr. Hall 4 5 is I could barely understand him when he was talking, and he 6 came up, sat in the back row. I couldn't understand him for a lot. 7 But he also says that, I think when he was 17 years old at 8 a grocery store, he was called the "N" word. He's an intern, 9 quality associate -- a quality analyst, I think it's an 10 11 associate, for about six months. And just from a comprehension perspective, I didn't think he was a good juror to understand 12 13 what we're going to be talking about here based on his responses to me as well as to Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. So you know with respect to 16 the non-understanding him, he was no more soft spoken than five 17 or six of the people who spoke, and I thought he actually spoke quite well. So I'm not -- that would not be a 18 19 nondiscriminatory explanation. Mr. Alexander, what's your response to those, to 20 Ms. Kennedy's. 21 MR. ALEXANDER: With regard to Prospective Jurors 22 23 No. 2, 12 and 23, they were also victims of race discrimination and the only difference why they aren't identified would appear 24 25 to be they aren't African American.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the fact that the African American potential jurors experienced the "N" word or that type of discrimination is a nature of race, and so to identify those things as the basis for selection is basically to identify race-based indicators for why the decision was made to select them. I don't think the defendant has stated a non-race motivation for the selects that they've made. I think the statements have actually identified race as the factor that was used in making the selection. THE COURT: Ms. Kennedy, would you like to respond to that? Well, I will say definitively MS. KENNEDY: Yes. race was not an issue with respect to the responses. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Say that again. MS. KENNEDY: I'm sorry. Race was not an issue in either one of them. It had to do with their responses to my questions as well as the Court's questions. Also, as to Juror No. 26, when he talked about his grocery store experience, he said that he complained and nothing -- his manager did nothing. So what I -- I would be -- more than frank is what I'm looking for, I'm looking for people who have an experience -- who have life experiences that they can set aside to come and look at these allegations in this case. As we know, there's going to be inflammatory language in

this case, and Mr. Hall, in particular, when he said that he --

he did not believe that his complaint was taken seriously, 1 that's exactly what I believe Mr. Diaz is going to be talking 2 For that reason, that's one of the reasons that I 3 wanted to have him off the jury. 4 5 And I actually didn't think we were going to get down into 26 and 33, to be quite honest with you. So because the two 6 7 Asian jurors were both taken off because of their investment in Tesla, so if we're going to -- so my point is, though, I never 8 9 anticipated giving the three strikes in the 21 people that we had, that we would actually get down to those jurors. 10 11 But race --THE COURT: I don't understand that as an 12 13 explanation, Ms. Kennedy, for your -- for striking them. MS. KENNEDY: Well, because, Your Honor, we're 14 15 looking at the number of jurors that were left. We only have 16 nine jurors, and I had one strike left and I used the last 17 strike. Those two jurors happened to be the last two jurors on the panel, but this was not based on race. It's based on their 18 answers to questions and how they -- and how -- and what their 19 life experiences were and their responses to those questions. 20 So, Mr. Alexander, the one -- I don't see 21 THE COURT: the basis for a strike on 26. I just don't see it. 22

33, the one thing that Ms. Kennedy indicated was the

connection to Tesla. That -- that he had a friend there,

wrongful -- she said the friend who was -- someone who was

23

24

25

```
wrongfully terminated and paid less. He did apply for a job
 1
 2
     there also.
          So why wouldn't that be a nondiscriminatory reason to
 3
 4
     strike him?
 5
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                               Your Honor, in other cases that
     address Batson-Wheeler objections, the court has rejected the
 6
 7
     secondary reason given when the primary -- when the first
     explanation given was a racially discriminatory reason.
 8
 9
     other words, once you have made the statement as to your
     explanation and then been called on it, the new explanation can
10
11
     be ignored.
               THE COURT: But this was the first explanation that
12
13
     Ms. Kennedy made with respect to 33.
14
               MR. ALEXANDER: I thought the -- I thought the
15
     grocery issue was a race-based issue.
16
               THE COURT:
                           That's 26.
17
               MR. ALEXANDER: All right. I'm sorry.
          (Pause in proceedings.)
18
               MR. ALEXANDER:
                              So --
19
          (Discussion held off the record between plaintiffs'
20
21
           counsel.)
               MR. ALEXANDER: I thought testimony of No. 33 was
22
23
     that he didn't have any personal knowledge of it. He just
     heard that she was not hired. She was wrongfully terminated,
24
     but he didn't know the circumstances, he heard it secondhand.
25
```

But in terms of the job, he turned down the Tesla job. 1 Ι 2 think that's my notes. (Pause in proceedings.) 3 MR. ALEXANDER: And others were terminated by Tesla 4 5 and they were not kicked off the jury. In other words, if you 6 make -- if you try and follow the logic of why they picked 33, 7 No. 12, they didn't select No. 12 to be kicked off the jury. So if you look at the -- the explanation does not match up 8 if you compare responses given by different prospective jurors. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Kennedy. 10 11 MS. KENNEDY: Yes, I can respond. As to Juror -- if we're going to compare it to Juror No. 12, the cousin who 12 13 worked for HR about she's handling issues with annoying 14 employees, very different. In HR I want to have someone --15 I'll be honest, I want someone who has -- someone who knows 16 something about HR on this. I have no problem with people with 17 HR. Her cousin -- she said her cousin worked for Tesla in HR. 18 She also said her cousin -- or was a Tesla employee for a 19 contractor. And she also testified, Ms. Uyeda, had a father 20 21 that worked in HR. That's why she wasn't struck. As to the issue concerning Mr. Bradford, Juror No. 33, 22 23 it's a very different life experience in a race harassment case to come in and say, one, you have a friend working at the 24 25 defendant; two, you talked about your mother being treated

1 unfairly; and, three, that -- that he's heard the "N" word a lot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So regardless of the questions by the Court or anyone else, there is an inherent bias there that cannot be overcome. And Tesla in this type of case, given the inflammatory languages -- language, that that person is going to be biased against Tesla regardless of the evidence.

And in this particular case Mr. Bradford was unique, as I recall, in his test- -- in his statements to the Court and his statements to me in particular about his experiences and about his relationship to Tesla. And the idea that his friend worked at Tesla and these biases make him an unsuitable juror in this particular case.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. KENNEDY: Oh, and I'm sorry, Your Honor, you asked about Juror No. 26. My issue on Juror No. 26 is that he said he worked at a grocery store and that nothing happened, nothing was done.

In this particular case, I expect Mr. Diaz to make that exact same argument over and over again about every complaint that he made. And in my notes, that is exactly what I have here, that that's what the issue was. And that nothing happened, which he volunteered, is the reason that Juror 26 was struck by me.

Because that's what I anticipate Mr. Alexander is going to

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 111 of 11611 **PROCEEDINGS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say in his opening statement; that Tesla did nothing, the staffing agency did nothing, and Tesla was supposed to do something and they didn't. That's the reason Mr. Hall was struck. THE COURT: I'm going to take a short break and I'll be back here. So don't go anywhere. It will take me about a minute or two. (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings from 12:59 p.m. until 1:03 p.m.) (Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective jurors:) THE COURT: All right. So my job in handling a Batson challenge at step one is there a prima facie case made with respect to the strike, and I think clearly there is. The two people that were struck that are under challenge are African American. And at step two, the burden shifts to a nondiscriminatory explanation. And I don't think with respect to Juror No. 26, a nondiscriminatory explanation was made; and I think he is perfectly able to be a fair and impartial juror, and so I will not allow the strike with respect to Juror No. 26. With respect to Juror No. 33, I do think a nondiscriminatory explanation has been given. It was somewhat mixed with a race-based one, but I think -- I think there are significant factors that would show a nondiscriminatory

```
explanation.
 1
          And then at step three the issue is: Was it purposeful?
 2
     And I to do think because of the connection that he had with
 3
 4
     Tesla, which I think is different than others, and his place --
 5
     the context of his employment, I think there's a -- there are
     distinguishing factors with Juror No. 12. So I would allow the
 6
     strike of Juror No. 33.
 7
          So with that, yes, I'd love to see the strikes.
 8
 9
          (Whereupon document was tendered to the Court.)
          (Brief pause.)
10
11
               THE COURT: All right. So I am -- what I'm looking
     at is my list is not completely accurate because the -- I
12
     didn't have a couple of people who didn't show, I think.
13
          So, Ms. Davis, have you been keeping up on your list?
14
15
          (Discussion held off the record between the Court and
16
           the Courtroom Deputy.)
17
               THE COURT: All right. So let me confirm who the
     jurors are period.
18
                            Is it okay if Mr. Diaz runs to the
19
               MS. NUNLEY:
     restroom quickly?
20
               THE COURT: He can listen. Oh, and he can go to the
21
     restroom too, yeah.
22
23
               MS. NUNLEY:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
          (Mr. Diaz exits the courtroom.)
24
                           So the jurors left after the strikes
25
               THE COURT:
```

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 113 of 11613 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
are -- Mr. Alexander, why don't you tell me who you have.
 1
 2
               MR. ALEXANDER: Your Honor, we have No. 1, No. 2,
     No. 6, No. 9, No. 12, No. 13, No. 23, No. 25, and No. 26.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kennedy, do you share that?
 4
 5
               MS. KENNEDY: Yes, I concur.
               THE COURT: Okay. Good.
                                         So that's our jury.
 6
 7
          And let's call in the jurors, and then we'll have them
     sworn in.
 8
               MR. ORGAN: Your Honor?
 9
10
               THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Organ.
11
               MR. ORGAN:
                          Mr. Diaz just ran down to the restroom.
     Could we wait for him to come back?
12
13
               THE COURT:
                          Yeah, we should.
14
               MR. ORGAN:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
15
          (Pause in proceedings.)
16
          (Jury enters the courtroom at 1:13 p.m.)
17
               THE COURT: All right. Please be seated everybody.
                      So thank you all again for your patience, but
18
          All right.
     we're now at the conclusion of the -- of jury selection.
19
          And before I tell you who has been excused, again, these
20
     were challenges for which it has nothing to do with anything
21
     except for the parties' selection. You have done every --
22
23
     you've done your jury service in the best way, and I appreciate
24
     it very much.
          But the following jurors are excused:
25
```

### Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 114 of 11614 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
1
          Juror No. 5, 19, 4, 18, and 33. So you may now leave and
 2
     with the thanks of the judiciary.
          (Prospective Jurors 4, 5, 18, 19, and 33 excused.)
 3
               THE COURT: Then I'd like everybody else, if you
 4
 5
     would, to fill in either seats in the jury box or come to the
 6
     first row on the left-hand side because you are going to be the
 7
     jury, and I'm about to swear you in.
          (Brief pause.)
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Davis.
 9
                           If you'd please stand again and raise
10
               THE CLERK:
11
     your right hands.
12
          (Jury sworn.)
13
               THE COURT: All right please be seated for just a
14
     moment.
15
          So you've now been sworn in to be the jury in this case.
16
     The case will start on Monday morning at 8:30. I'd like you to
17
     be here by 8:15 because I start getting nervous when the jury
     is not all ready to go. And we will run, as I said earlier,
18
19
     Monday through Friday 8:30 to 1:30 with a couple of 15-minute
20
     breaks.
          Ms. Davis is going to escort you now back to the jury room
21
     that we're going to be using and give you a few sort of
22
23
     preliminary instructions on how to maneuver through the
     courthouse to get up here.
24
          And we'll look forward to seeing you bright and early on
25
```

## Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 418 Filed 03/15/23 Page 115 of 11615 **PROCEEDINGS**

```
Monday morning. So thank you very much.
 1
          Ms. Davis.
 2
          Please follow Ms. Davis.
 3
          (Jury exits the courtroom at 1:16 p.m.)
 4
 5
               THE COURT: All right.
                                        Please be seated.
          So I will look forward to seeing all of you at
 6
 7
     8:00 o'clock on Monday, and make sure you've discussed what
     you're doing with your demonstratives and who the witnesses
 8
 9
     are.
10
          And I appreciate how well the parties are working together
     to get this show on the road, and we're going to hit the road
11
12
     on Monday morning at 8:00 o'clock.
13
          Thank you all. Have a good weekend.
          (Proceedings adjourned at 1:17 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

### CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelia X. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
Friday, March 10, 2023